

**WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
BOARD MEMBERS**

JANET BARD
Ramos Oil Company, Inc.

LARRY BOOTH
Frank M. Booth, Inc.

BRIAN BROADWAY
Sacramento Job Corps

LARRY BURKHARDT
City of Sacramento.

DENNIS CANEVARI
Sheet Metal Workers Local #104

N. LISA CLAWSON
Blood Source, Inc.

LYNN R. CONNER –Chair
Parasec

MICHAEL DOURGARIAN
Asher College

ANN EDWARDS
Department of Human Assistance

DIANE FERRARI
Employment Development Department

DAVID W. GORDON
Sacramento County Office of Education

GARY R. KING – First Vice Chair
SMUD

KATHY KOSSICK
Sacramento Employment & Training Agency

MATT LEGE
SEIU – United Healthcare Workers

FRANK A. LOUIE
Sacramento Asian Chamber of Commerce

ELIZABETH MCCLATCHY
The Safety Center, Inc.

CHARLOTTE MITCHELL
Sacramento County Farm Bureau

DENNIS MORIN
Sacramento Area Electrical Training Center

DR. JAMEY NYE
Los Rios Community College District

JAY ONASCH
California Department of Rehabilitation

KIM PARKER
California Employers Association

FABRIZIO SASSO
Sacramento Central Labor Council

ANETTE SMITH-DOHRING
Sutter Health – Sacramento Sierra Region

PETER TATEISHI
Sacramento Metro Chamber of Commerce

RICK WYLIE – Secretary/Treasurer
Villara Building Systems



SACRAMENTOWORKS

**SPECIAL MEETING OF THE
SACRAMENTO WORKS, INC. BOARD**

DATE: Wednesday, November 16, 2016

TIME: 8:00 a.m.

LOCATION: SETA Board Room
925 Del Paso Blvd.
Sacramento, California 95815

While the Sacramento Works, Inc. Board welcomes and encourages participation in the Sacramento Works, Inc. meetings, it would be appreciated if you would limit your comments to five minutes so that everyone may be heard. Matters under the jurisdiction of the Sacramento Works, Inc. Board and not on the posted agenda may be addressed by the general public following completion of the regular agenda. The Sacramento Works, Inc. Board limits testimony on matters not on the agenda to five minutes per person and not more than fifteen minutes for a particular subject. Meeting facilities are accessible to persons with disabilities. Requests for Assisted Listening Devices or other considerations should be made through the Clerk's office at (916) 263-3827. This document and other Board meeting information may be accessed through the Internet by accessing the SETA home page: www.seta.net.

A G E N D A

PAGE NUMBER

- I. Call to Order/Roll Call**
⇒ Presentation: Ms. Rivkah Sass, Sacramento Public Library
- II. Consent Item (2 minutes)**
- A. Approval of Minutes of the September 28, 2016 Meeting 2-8
- III. Discussion/Action Items (30 minutes)**
- A. Approval to Allocate WIOA Title I Youth Funds to Support a Transitional Foster Youth Employment Pilot (Terri Carpenter) 9-10
- B. Approval of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act Resource Sharing Agreement and Authorize SETA's Executive Director to Negotiate Changes Subject to Legal Counsel Approval (Roy Kim) 11-12
- C. Approval to Transfer Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Dislocated Worker Funds to Adult Funds, Program Year (PY) 2016-17, and Authorize Staff to Submit a Request to the State of California, Employment Development Department (Roy Kim) 13

IV. Information Items (15 minutes)

- | | | |
|----|--|-------|
| A. | Dislocated Worker Report (William Walker) | 14-15 |
| B. | Employer Recruitment Activity Report (William Walker) | 16-20 |
| C. | Unemployment Update/Press Release from the Employment Development Department (Roy Kim) | 21-37 |
| D. | Committee Updates | 38 |
| | ✓ Youth Committee (Brian Broadway) | |
| | ✓ Planning/Oversight Committee (Anette Smith-Dohring) | |
| | ✓ Employer Outreach Committee (Rick Wylie) | |
| | ✓ Board Development Committee | |

V. Other Reports 39

1. Chair
2. Members of the Board
3. Counsel
4. Public Participation

VI. Adjournment

DISTRIBUTION DATE: TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2016

Sacramento Works, Inc. Local Workforce Development Board Strategic Plan

Sacramento Works, Inc., the local Workforce Development Board for Sacramento County, is a 25-member board charged with providing policy, planning and oversight for local workforce development initiatives.

Vision:

Building a dynamic workforce for the Sacramento Region.

Mission:

Sacramento Works partners with the workforce community to serve regional employment needs.

Goals:

Goal 1 (Planning/Oversight Committee):

Prepare customers for viable employment opportunities and career pathways in the region by improving the one stop career center system.

Goal 2 (Employer Outreach Committee):

Support regional employers' efforts to hire, train, and transition employees by enhancing and communicating the availability and value of Sacramento Works' employer and business services.

Goal 3 (Youth Committee):

Prepare youth to thrive and succeed in the regional workforce by providing relevant work readiness and employment programs and engaging regional employers and academia.

(Adopted 5/25/11; updated 5/12/16)

ITEM II-A – CONSENT

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE SEPTEMBER 28, 2016 MEETING

BACKGROUND:

Attached are the minutes of the September 28, 2016 meeting for review.

RECOMMENDATION:

That your Board review, modify if necessary, and approve the attached minutes.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE SACRAMENTO WORKS, INC. BOARD

Minutes/Synopsis

(The minutes reflect the actual progression of the meeting.)

SETA Board Room
925 Del Paso Blvd.
Sacramento, California

Wednesday, September 28, 2016
8:00 a.m.

I. Call to Order/Roll Call

Mr. Gary King called the meeting to order at 8:01 a.m. The roll was called and a quorum was established.

Members Present: Janet Bard, Larry Booth, Dennis Canevari, David Gordon, Gary King, Kathy Kossick, Matt Legé, Frank Louie (arrived at 8:04 a.m.), Elizabeth McClatchy, Charlotte Mitchell, Jay Onasch, Kim Parker (arrived at 8:08 a.m.), Fabrizio Sasso, Anette Smith-Dohring, Peter Tateishi, Rick Wylie

Members Absent: Brian Broadway, Larry Burkhardt, Lisa Clawson, Lynn Conner, Mike Dourgarian, Ann Edwards, Diane Ferrari, Dennis Morin, Dr. Jamey Nye

II. Consent Item

A. Approval of Minutes of the July 27, 2016 Meeting

The minutes were reviewed; no questions or corrections.

Moved/McClatchy, second/Canevari, to approve the July 27 minutes as distributed.

Roll Call Vote:

Aye: 14 (Bard, Booth, Canevari, Gordon, King, Kossick, Lege, McClatchy, Mitchell, Onasch, Sasso, Smith-Dohring, Tateishi, Wylie)

Nay: 0

Abstentions: 0

Absent: 11 (Broadway, Burkhardt, Clawson, Conner, Dourgarian, Edwards, Ferrari, Louie, Morin, Nye, Parker)

III. Discussion/Action Items

Mr. King suggested that the board re-order the agenda items and go to item #3:

Ms. Kossick and SETA staff stepped out of the room during this item.

3. Determination of Method of Selection of WIOA One Stop Operator who may be Contracted to Function as the One Stop Operator for this Region for up to Four Years

Mr. Frank Louie arrived at 8:04 a.m.

Mr. Cunningham reported that he sent a survey letter to 28 organizations and the letter was published in the Sacramento Bee. He received eight responses; four stated that SETA should continue to serve as the One Stop Operator and the other four expressed interest. Those expressing interest: SETA, Lao Family Community, La Familia Counseling Center, and Meristem. A subsequent letter was sent notifying the respondents that a conflict of interest policy and firewall was required; La Familia backed out. The other three entities continue to be interested.

Mr. Cunningham stated that the board could sole source the One Stop Operator contract. In order to justify a sole source procurement, we would have to show that a valid market survey was done and there was no reasonable interest. If SETA were chosen as the sole source provider, the board could make an argument that Meristem and Lao Family did not demonstrate reasonable interest in the procurement objective. .

The other option is to do a competitive procurement. After conversations with legal staff, there is a small purchase procurement that we are free to use. That method is more efficient than a Request for Proposals and more transparent than a Sole source procurement . He is suggesting that since there are three parties interested in providing this service the board consider utilizing the small purchase procurement option. This would entail contacting the three parties that expressed interest and ask them for a quote, how much they want and the services they envision providing. The parties would be provided guidelines regarding basic requirements such as a Conflict of Interest policy and a firewall between operations and fiscal and a description of how they will be evaluated, i.e., experience and operating history. Mr. Cunningham proposes that Resolution B be considered and authorize the Executive Committee with Legal Counsel assistance to do the small purchase procurement and come back to the full board with a recommendation.

Mr. King stated that since there are organizations that expressed interest, it seems right that we do the competitive process.

Ms. Parker arrived at 8:08 a.m.

Mr. Gordon stated that transparency part is fine. Meristem is brand new and this is not their regular 'business.' Is there some way short of going through the procurement process to get more information to see if these two entities are really qualified.

Mr. Cunningham stated he sent follow up letters. He thinks Lao Family is interested because they contacted him four times and we have done business with them. Mr. Cunningham agrees with Mr. Gordon and his concern

surrounding Meristem but he feels there is no way to eliminate them. Their executive director just left. He could follow up with a phone call.

If we do a small purchase, it is straight forward and not as elaborate as an RFP. He is comfortable with the small purchase process and making a call to Meristem to determine their interest.

Moved/Smith-Dohring, second/McClatchy, to approve Resolution B with the Executive Committee to serve as the evaluating panel.

Roll Call Vote:

Aye: 16 (Bard, Booth, Canevari, Gordon, King, Lege, Louie, McClatchy, Mitchell, Onasch, Parker, Sasso, Smith-Dohring, Tateishi, Wylie)

Nay: 0

Abstentions: 0

Absent: 9 (Broadway, Burkhardt, Clawson, Conner, Dourgarian, Edwards, Ferrari, Kossick, Morin, Nye)

Ms. Kossick and SETA staff returned to the room.

1. Ratification of Final Negotiated Local Workforce Development Area Performance Goals, PY2016-17 and 2017-18

Mr. Kim stated that this item presents the negotiated WIOA performance goals for 2016-17 and 2017-18. The Planning/Oversight Committee reviewed and approved this item at their September 21 meeting. The performance targets are aligned with current economic indicators and reflect local service strategies.

Moved/Booth, second/Sasso, to ratify the final Local Workforce Development Area (LWDA) WIOA, Title I, Adult, Dislocated Worker, and Youth program performance goals for PY 2016-17 and 2017-18.

Roll Call Vote:

Aye: 16 (Bard, Booth, Canevari, Gordon, King, Kossick, Lege, Louie, McClatchy, Mitchell, Onasch, Parker, Sasso, Smith-Dohring, Tateishi, Wylie)

Nay: 0

Abstentions: 0

Absent: 9 (Broadway, Burkhardt, Clawson, Conner, Dourgarian, Edwards, Ferrari, Morin, Nye)

2. Approval of WIOA High-Growth Industry Sectors and Occupational Clusters

Mr. Roy Kim stated that historically this board has targeted financial resources toward high growth clusters. At the last two Planning/Oversight Committee meetings, there was a great deal of discussion regarding the clusters and which areas have the best projected growth over ten years. The construction sector needs to be included in the list due to significant opportunities and especially due to the retirement of older workers and contractors needing to fill the jobs.

Moved/Booth, second/Gordon , to approve the Next Economy Clusters,

- Life Sciences and Health Services
- Advanced Manufacturing
- Information and Communications Technology
- Construction
- Clean Economy
- Food and Agriculture
- Education and Knowledge Creation

as the Sacramento Works, Inc. Board's high-growth industry sectors/
occupational clusters under WIOA.

Roll Call Vote:

Aye: 16 (Bard, Booth, Canevari, Gordon, King, Kossick, Lege, Louie, McClatchy, Mitchell, Onasch, Parker, Sasso, Smith-Dohring, Tateishi, Wylie)

Nay: 0

Abstentions: 0

Absent: 9 (Broadway, Burkhardt, Clawson, Conner, Dourgarian, Edwards, Ferrari, Morin, Nye)

3. Discussion of Sacramento Works Strategic Plan Update

Ms. Kossick suggested that all committees look at the strategic plan before the next board meeting. The work can be done at the committee level and then reviewed at the board level. It was decided that a January/February date for a strategic planning meeting would be sought. There are a number of new board members and it would be valuable to do this as a collective.

Ms. Parker stated that her board spent six hours together to do their strategic plan. She encouraged choosing a date in January to get together and do the plan together. Board members need to prioritize this meeting.

Mr. Louie stated that it is great to get together and the last one was really productive. It is important to focus so the time is productive.

Mr. Gordon stated that it would be interested in learning what the other regional Workforce Development Boards or the state Workforce Board do; he requested this information by the time we meet to go over the strategic plan. He wants to know how we work with them to be more regional.

Mr. King stated that there is an agreement to look into January at a date for the strategic planning meeting; staff will send a doodle poll to get the majority of members. There was some discussion as to whether it be morning or afternoon. Ms. Parker suggested having a meal, either lunch or dinner afterward. It really helps to sit with someone and chat with people and network.

Ms. Kossick suggested that the three committees look at the goals at least at one meeting so see if changes or tweaks are needed. This can be done at the November meetings.

IV. Information Items

A. Breakdown of Workforce Development Areas in California

Ms. Kossick stated this is information about where the other 45 workforce areas are located. NOVA consolidated with another region within the state. The number of workforce areas went from 49 to 46 local areas.

B. Dislocated Worker Report: Mr. William Walker reported that Sports Authority closed their stores nationwide; some will now open as Dick's Sporting Goods. They are now starting to hire for Dick's Sporting Goods.

C. Employer Recruitment Activity Report: Mr. William Walker spoke of recruitment efforts with PepsiCo at their Sacramento facility.

D. Unemployment Update/Press Release from the Employment Development Department: Ms. Cara Welch was introduced and reviewed the most recent report.

E. Committee Updates

✓ Youth Committee: Ms. Terri Carpenter had a meeting earlier this month to begin work on updating their portion of the strategic plan. Ms. Carpenter stated that JUMA contracted to hire 60 youth at the new arena. JUMA has been using SETA's facilities to source and screen youth that are eligible. They have hired all 60 kids in the WIOA youth program.

✓ Planning/Oversight Committee: Ms. Smith-Dohring urged new board members to consider joining the Planning/Oversight Committee.

✓ Employer Outreach Committee: Mr. Wylie stated that the next meeting will be on October 5. Ms. Carpenter will present the new approach Build Your Own Workforce. This is a great campaign that we are pursuing.

✓ Board Development Committee: No report.

V. Other Reports

1. Chair: No report.

2. Members of the Board: Ms. Kossick stated that she, Lynn Conner and Ms. Carpenter attended Meeting of the Minds in Monterey. There are three main themes: demographic shifts locally and nationally; everything we do is pushed

by technology; and discussion of linking economic development with workforce development. There is a focus on employers and the opportunity exists to get all systems to work together.

Ms. Smith-Dohring stated that there has been a conversation at the recent Planning/Oversight Committee around demographics of participants at the one stops.

3. Counsel: No report.

4. Public Participation: None.

VI. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 9:04 a.m.

ITEM III-A - ACTION

APPROVAL TO ALLOCATE WIOA TITLE I YOUTH FUNDS TO SUPPORT A TRANSITIONAL FOSTER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PILOT

BACKGROUND:

Based on input from the Youth Committee, SETA is requesting approval to reallocate WIOA Title I funds to serve 20 Out-of-School Transitional Foster Youth ages 18 to 24 through an employment pilot program, Youth Force @ Work.

The Youth Force @ Work program is a collaborative effort between SETA, the California Employers Association and Stanford Options for Youth to provide intensive interactive classroom work readiness training followed by paid work experience with public or private sector employers.

The Youth Force @ Work program will provide the following activities:

- 32 hours of interactive classroom training on how to gain and keep employment as well as managing money
- A StrengthsFinder Assessment to identify innate talents and build confidence & strengths
- Contemporary job search strategies
- Employers to interview, employ and provide work experience to all participants
- Work-based learning activities for students, including field trips, speaking engagements, and job shadowing
- Career events for youths
- Mock interviews
- Resume preparation and development
- Experience working with social media tools such as Linked In, Facebook and Twitter accounts
- Training on how to network
- 240 hours of paid work experience with support to retain permanent employment

The total cost to implement this pilot is \$74,380 which includes providing 20 Transitional Foster Youth with 32 hours of classroom training and 240 hours of paid work experience

PRESENTER: Terri Carpenter

ITEM III-A – ACTION (continued)
Page 2

at \$13.00 per hour (\$10.00 per hour plus \$2.00/hour to cover taxes and workers compensation and payroll processing fees through the Community College Foundation).

The pilot program funding would be supported by the approved WIOA 2016-2017 funding allocation of \$100,000 for Wages/Supportive Services for In-house Youth Initiatives.

The Youth Committee met on November 9 and approved this allocation. Staff will be available to provide additional details.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the allocation of \$74,380 WIOA Title I Youth Funds to support a Transitional Foster Youth Employment Pilot.

PRESENTER: Terri Carpenter

ITEM III-B – ACTION

APPROVAL OF THE WORKFORCE INNOVATION AND OPPORTUNITY ACT RESOURCE SHARING AGREEMENT AND AUTHORIZE SETA'S EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO NEGOTIATE CHANGES SUBJECT TO LEGAL COUNSEL APPROVAL

BACKGROUND:

Under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), Local Workforce Development Boards (LWDB), with the agreement of the Chief Local Elected Official (CEO) are responsible for entering into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with specified One-Stop Required Partners that provide programs or activities within the local area.

In January, the California Employment Development Department (EDD) released Directive WSD15-12, WIOA Memorandums of Understanding, providing guidance on the development of MOUs and a sample MOU Template that local areas could adapt for their purposes. The Directive separated the MOU development process into two (2) phases: Phase I-Service Coordination and Phase II-Shared Resources/Costs. Phase I was to be completed no later than June 30, 2016, while Phase II would be separately negotiated and was to be completed by December 31, 2017.

On October 16, 2016, EDD released Draft Directive WSDD16-09, WIOA Phase II Memorandums of Understanding, providing guidance on shared resources and costs, and a sample template that local areas can use as they work through the negotiations process. The Directive specifies the requirements for the sharing of One-Stop Center infrastructure and other system costs, and accelerated the Phase II timeline to require that all cost/resource sharing agreements be executed and submitted to EDD **no later than June 30, 2017**.

Staff, with the approval of the respective legal counsels for the Sacramento Works, Inc. (SWI), Sacramento's LWDB, and the SETA Governing Board, have prepared the attached draft Resource Sharing Agreement (RSA) for the Sacramento Workforce Development Area based on the sample template provided by EDD. Beginning in November 2016, staff will be convening a series of meetings with all One-Stop Required Partners to negotiate the specific RSA terms.

It is anticipated that the overwhelming majority of One-Stop Required Partners will execute the attached draft RSA; however, to ensure that the SWI is able to comply with the requirement of executing RSAs with all One-Stop Required Partners, staff is

PRESENTER: Roy Kim

ITEM III-B – 2 – ACTION (continued)
Page 2

recommending that the Board authorize SETA's Executive Director to negotiate changes to the RSAs with One-Stop Required Partners, subject to approval by the SWI's and the SETA Governing Board's legal counsels.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the WIOA Resource Sharing Agreement for the Sacramento Workforce Development Area and authorize SETA's Executive Director to negotiate changes to the RSAs with One-Stop Required Partners, subject to approval by the SWI's and the SETA Governing Board's legal counsels.

PRESENTER: Roy Kim

ITEM III-C – ACTION

APPROVAL TO TRANSFER WORKFORCE INNOVATION AND OPPORTUNITY ACT (WIOA) DISLOCATED WORKER FUNDS TO ADULT FUNDS, PROGRAM YEAR (PY) 2016-17, AND AUTHORIZE STAFF TO SUBMIT A REQUEST TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

BACKGROUND:

This item addresses the transfer of Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Dislocated Worker funds to Adult funds for Program Year (PY) 2016-17. WIOA, signed into law July 22, 2014, allows Local Workforce Development Boards (LWDBs), with approval from the Governor, to transfer up to and including 100 percent of the funds allocated for Adult and Dislocated Worker programs in order to maximize customer service and provide local boards with greater flexibility to respond to changes in their local labor markets and the demonstrated needs of each unique population. WIOA funds transfer limitations can be found in WIOA, Section 133(b)(4).

Each year, approximately 30 percent of eligible dislocated workers are served under SETA's adult funding stream. By alleviating SETA staff of the labor-intensive eligibility, data collection, accounting and reporting procedures required when serving customers under the dislocated worker funding stream, more effort can be focused on training and job development services.

Consistent with the State of California, Employment Development Department's (EDD) Workforce Services Directive WSD15-23, Transfer of Funds – Adult/Dislocated Worker Programs, issued on March 29, 2016, staff is recommending that the Board authorize the transfer of 63 percent of SETA's total WIOA dislocated worker formula allocation to the adult program for PY 2016-17.

The amount of dislocated worker funds to be transferred to the adult allocation for PY 2016-17 will be \$2,319,181. Before effecting transfer, SETA will obtain written approval from EDD, Workforce Services Division, which has been delegated authority to act on behalf of the Governor.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the transfer of \$2,319,181 in WIOA dislocated worker formula funds to the WIOA adult program for PY 2016-17, and authorize staff to submit a request to the State of California, EDD.

PRESENTER: Roy Kim

ITEM IV-A - INFORMATION
DISLOCATED WORKER REPORT

BACKGROUND:

The most current dislocated worker update is attached; staff will be available to answer questions.

PRESENTER: William Walker

Dislocated Worker Information PY 2016/2017

The following is an update of information as of October 24, 2016 on the Worker Adjustment and Training Notification (WARN) notices and Non WARN notifications in Sacramento County.

	MONTH RECEIVE NOTICE	COMPANY AND ADDRESS	WARN STATUS	# OF AFFECTED WORKERS	SETA'S INTERVENTION
Unofficial	3/10/2016	Orchard Supply Hardware 905 E. Bidwell St. Folsom, CA 95630	8/1/2016	40	7/7/2016 7/14/16
Unofficial	5/19/2016	Sports Authority 3350 Arden Way Sacramento, CA 95815	8/31/2016	150	7/28/2016
Official	6/10/2016	CVS Health: Financial Services Center 11092 Sun Center Dr. Rancho Cordova, CA 95670	9/30/2016	152	8/29/2016 8/31/16 9/20/16 9/22/16
Unofficial	6/27/2016	Hancock Fabrics 2711 El Camino Sacramento, CA 95821	7/13/2016	22	6/6/16 6/8/16
Official	6/27/2016	CST California Stations 4625 San Juan Avenue Fair Oaks, CA 95628	7/5/2016	6	8/18/2016
Unofficial	6/29/2016	CalStar 4933 Bailey Loop McClellan, CA 95652	9/15/2016	20	8/24/2016
Official	6/30/2016	DCS Facility Services 3731 Metro Dr. Suite 600 Sacramento, CA 95215	8/31/2016	11	Packets Delivered
Unofficial	7/5/2016	California State Senate 1020 N Street Sacramento, CA 95814	11/20/2016	40	8/5/16 9/28/16
Unofficial	7/24/2016	Flapjacks 2721 El Camino Ave. Sacramento, CA 95821	7/23/2016	27	7/29/2016
Unofficial	8/1/2016	Farrell's Ice Cream 1625 Watt Ave Sacramento, CA 95864	8/1/2016	100	8/3/2016
Official	9/6/2016	ITT Technical Institute 10863 Gold Center Dr. Ranch Cordova, CA 95670	9/16/2016	104	Pending
Official	10/3/2016	Sutter VNA & Hospice 8330 Ferguson Ave Sacramento, CA 95828	12/2/2016	15	Pending
Official	10/10/2016	Red Lion Hotel Woodlake 500 Leisure Ln Sacramento, CA 95815	12/12/2016	120	Pending
Official	10/11/2016	Verizon Wireless 10734 International Dr. Rancho Cordova, CA 95670	1/27/2016	1,000	Pending
			Total # of Affected Workers	1,807	

ITEM IV-B – INFORMATION

EMPLOYER RECRUITMENT ACTIVITY REPORT

BACKGROUND:

Staff at Sacramento Works Job Centers and internal Employer Services staff work with local employers to recruit qualified employees. The most current update is attached.

Mr. William Walker will be available to answer questions.

PRESENTER: William Walker

ERA - Entry Level

July 1-October 19, 2016

EMPLOYER	CRITICAL CLUSTERS	JOBS	NO OF POSITIONS
Critical Occupational Clusters Key: 1=Administrative & Support Services; 2=Architecture & Engineering; 3=Construction; 4=Healthcare & Supportive Service; 5=Human Services; 6=Information Technology; 7= Installation, Maintenance & Repair; 8=Tourism/Hospitality; 9=Transportation & Production; 10=Non-Critical Occupations			
Arcade Creek Manor	1	Groundskeeper	1
Denio's Roseville Farmers Market and	1	Cashier	4
Folsom Dam Car Wash	1	Car Wash Line Work	5
Elite Cleaning	1	Housecleaner	2
Los Rios Community College	1	Physical Education/Athletic Attendant	1
Safety Center Inc.	1	Alcohol and Drug Program Staff I	1
Saint Claire's Nursing Home	1	Laundry Worker/Housekeeper	3
Tele Direct	1	Customer Service Representative	30
Universal Security & Fire Inc.	1	Alarm Technician Trainee	2
Villara Corporation	1	Sales	1
Capitol Architectural Production	3	Welder/Shop Helper	1
Labor Finders	3	Labor	1
347Group	7	Machine Operator/Laborer	6
Nor Cal Mobile Mechanics	7	Auto Technician	1
La Bou Bakery & Cafe	8	Food Prep/Sandwich Maker/Cashier	1
Fulton-El Camino Rec-Park District	10	Recreational Leaders	20
Total			80

ERA
July 1 - October 19, 2016

EMPLOYER	CRITICAL CLUSTERS	JOBS	NO OF POSITIONS
Critical Occupational Clusters Key: 1=Administrative & Support Services; 2=Architecture & Engineering;3=Construction; 4=Healthcare & Supportive Service; 5=Human Services; 6=Information Technology; 7= Installation, Maintenance & Repair; 8=Tourism/Hospitality; 9=Transportation & Production; 10=Non-Critical Occupations			
1STOPlighting	1	Customer Service	1
	1	Warehouse Technician	1
347Group	7	Machine Operator/Laborer	6
Amador Stage Lines Inc.	9	Motorcoach Operator	20
Arcade Creek Manor	1	Groundskeeper	1
Black Dog Graphics	1	Warehouse Technician	1
California Native Plant Society	1	Director of Communications and Marketing	1
Capitol Architectural Production	3	Welder/Shop Helper	1
Carson's Coatings Inc.	3	CADD Tech	1
Chico Comcast	7	Installation Technician	1
D V Austin Contractors	3	Helpers--Painters, Paperhangers, Plasterers, and Stucco Masons	1
Davis Center	1	Administrative Assistant I	1
Denio's Roseville Farmers Market and Auction, Inc.	1	Cashier	4
Electrofreeze of Northern California	1	Office Administrator	1
Elite Cleaning	1	Housecleaner	2
Farmers Insurance	1	Bilingual Insurance Sales	1
Fedex Freight	9	City Driver	1
Folsom Dam Car Wash	1	Car Wash Line Work	5
Frito-Lay	9	Route Sales Representative - General	13
Fulton-El Camino Rec-Park District	10	Recreational Leaders	20
Handyman Network	7	Handyman/Contractor	5
Health and Life Organization	1	Member Service I	1
	4	Registered Dental	2
Imko Workforce Solutions	7	Automotive Mechanics	1
	3	Mig Welder	1
JUMA Ventures	1	Enterprise Manager	1
L - 3 Communications Corporation	1	Contracts Administrator	1
	1	Sr. Proposal Administrator	1
Labor Finders	9	Labor	1
La Bou Bakery & Cafe	8	Food Prep/Sandwich Maker/Cashier	1
Lewis Group Of Companies	4	Maintenance Technician	3
Los Rios Community College	1	Accountant	1
	1	Administrative Assistant I	3
	1	Administrative Assistant II	1
	1	Admissions/Records Evaluator I	1
	1	Art Assistant Professor (Studio Art)	1
	1	Associate Vice Chancellor, Resource Development	1
	1	Athletic Trainer	1
	1	Clerk III	1
	1	College Police Detective	1
	1	Confidential Human Resources Specialist I	1
	1	Counseling Clerk I	1
	1	Counseling Clerk II	1
	1	Counselor	2
	1	Culinary Arts Management Adjunct Assistant Professor	1
	1	Dean of Career and Technical Education	1
	1	Dean of Distance Education, Virtual Education Center	1
	1	Dean of Institutional Effectiveness	1
	1	Dean of Kinesiology, Health, and Athletics	1
	1	Dean of Student Services Admissions and Transition Services	1
	1	Director of Facilities Planning and Construction	1
	1	Director of Human Resources	1
	1	Director of Marketing and Communication, Harris Center for the Arts	1

ERA
July 1 - October 19, 2016

EMPLOYER	CRITICAL CLUSTERS	JOBS	NO OF POSITIONS
Critical Occupational Clusters Key: 1=Administrative & Support Services; 2=Architecture & Engineering; 3=Construction; 4=Healthcare & Supportive Service; 5=Human Services; 6=Information Technology; 7= Installation, Maintenance & Repair; 8=Tourism/Hospitality; 9=Transportation & Production; 10=Non-Critical Occupations			
Los Rios Community College	6	Educational Media and Web Design Specialist	1
	2	Facilities Planning and Engineering Specialist	1
	1	Financial Aid Clerk II	2
	1	Financial Aid Supervisor	1
	1	Foreign Languages Assistant Professor	1
	1	Groundskeeper	1
	1	Head Grounds Maintenance Technician	1
	1	Healthcare Interpreting Assistant Professor	1
	6	Information Technology Systems/Database Administrator Analyst II	1
	1	Instructional Assistant - Learning Resources	1
	1	Instructional Assistant Tutorial Center	2
	1	Instructional Assistant Costuming and Makeup	1
	6	IT Business/Technical Analyst I	1
	1	Journalism Assistant Professor	1
	1	Laboratory Technician-Science Chemistry	1
	1	Lead Library Media Technical Assistant	1
	3	Lead Maintenance Electrician	1
	1	Learning Skills and Tutorial Services Coordinator	1
	1	Legal Assisting Assistant Professor	1
	1	Library Media Technical Assistant	1
	7	Maintenance Plumber	1
	1	Mathematics Assistant Professor	1
	3	Mechanical-Electrical Systems Technician	1
	1	Nursing (LVN) Assistant Professor	1
	1	Physical Education/Athletic Attendant	1
	1	Physical Therapy Assistant Professor	1
	1	Project Director for TRIO, Student Support Services, STEM, and Veterans Programs	1
	1	Respiratory Care Assistant Professor	1
	1	Student Personnel Assistant-Assessment and Testing	1
	1	Student Personnel Assistant-Cultural Awareness Center	1
	1	Student Personnel Assistant-DSP&S	1
		Student Personnel Assistant-Student Services	1
	1	Student Success and Support Program Specialist	1
1	Theater Arts (Technical) Adjunct Assistant Professor	1	
1	Vice President of Instruction	1	
1	Vice President of Student Services	1	
ProWraps, Inc.	1	Office Manager/Project Manager	1
MGO Strategic Staffing	1	Administrative Assistant	1
	1	Senior Accountant	1
Mr. Security Camera	7	Installation Technician	1
Nor Cal Mobile Mechanics	7	Auto Technician	1
Retail Business Development	1	Wireless Sales Ambassador	8
RIMNETICS	9	Manufacturing/Production Worker	5
River City Rickshaw LLC.	9	Pedicab Driver	1
Sacramento Employment & Training Agency	1	Administrative Assistant	1
Sacramento Regional Transit District	1	Director, Office Management and Budget	1
Safety Center Inc.	4	Alcohol and Drug Program Counselor	1
	1	Alcohol and Drug Program Staff I	1
Saint Claire's Nursing Home	1	Laundry Worker/Housekeeper	3
Universal Security & Fire Inc.	1	Alarm Technician Trainee	2
University Of The Pacific, Mc George School Of Law	1	Legal Advocate	1
Tele Direct	10	Customer Service Representative (CSR)	30

ERA
July 1 - October 19, 2016

EMPLOYER	CRITICAL CLUSTERS	JOBS	NO OF POSITIONS
Critical Occupational Clusters Key: 1=Administrative & Support Services; 2=Architecture & Engineering;3=Construction; 4=Healthcare & Supportive Service; 5=Human Services; 6=Information Technology; 7= Installation, Maintenance & Repair; 8=Tourism/Hospitality; 9=Transportation & Production; 10=Non-Critical Occupations			
Tots of Love Child Development Center, LLC	1	Preschool Teacher	3
Urban Strategies Inc.	1	Education Liaison	1
Victoria S Mosur DDS PC	4	Registered Dental Assistant (RDA)	1
Villara Corporation	1	Sales	1
Weidmann-ACTI Inc.	9	Shipping Technician	1
WFVC Contact Centers	1	Phone Banker I	1
Wheel Pros	9	Warehouse Worker	2
	9	Warehouse Driver/Worker	1
Total			234

ITEM IV-C – INFORMATION

UNEMPLOYMENT UPDATE/PRESS RELEASE FROM THE EMPLOYMENT
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

BACKGROUND:

The unemployment rate for Sacramento County for the month of September, 2016 was 5.2%.

Attached is a copy of a press release from the Employment Development Department breaking down the job losses and job creations for the regional area.

Staff will be available to answer questions.

PRESENTER: Roy Kim

**SACRAMENTO—ROSEVILLE—ARDEN-ARCADE METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA
(MSA)
(EL DORADO, PLACER, SACRAMENTO, AND YOLO COUNTIES)
Government leads month-over job gains**

The unemployment rate in the Sacramento--Roseville--Arden-Arcade MSA was 5.2 percent in September 2016, down from a revised 5.4 percent in August 2016, and below the year-ago estimate of 5.3 percent. This compares with an unadjusted unemployment rate of 5.3 percent for California and 4.8 percent for the nation during the same period. The unemployment rate was 4.9 percent in El Dorado County, 4.5 percent in Placer County, 5.4 percent in Sacramento County, and 5.1 percent in Yolo County.

Between August 2016 and September 2016, combined employment in the counties of El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, and Yolo, increased by 5,000 to total 958,300 jobs.


- Government (up 3,500 jobs) led the region with a normal seasonal job gain from August to September, as schools are back in session. Local government accounted for 77.0 percent of the job additions, picking up 2,700 jobs. State government increased by 800 jobs.
- Construction gained 1,500 jobs over the month, in contrast to its usual decline during this time of year. Specialty trade contractors picked up 500 jobs. Construction of buildings increased by 100 jobs.
- Private educational and health services grew by 1,500 jobs over the month, with the majority of the job gain in health care and social assistance (up 1,000 jobs).
- Five industries experienced month-over decline: leisure and hospitality decreased by 1,500 jobs; manufacturing declined by 700 jobs; farm cutback 600 jobs; trade, transportation, and utilities shed 400 jobs; and information dipped by 100 jobs.

Between September 2015 and September 2016, total jobs in the region increased by 27,000, or 2.9 percent.

- Construction led year-over growth, adding 8,500 jobs. Specialty trade contractors (up 5,200 jobs) was responsible for 61.0 percent of the increase. Construction of buildings gained 1,600 jobs over the year.
- Private educational and health services gained 5,900 jobs from last September. Health care and social assistance led the expansion by adding 5,400 jobs.
- Government advanced by 3,500 jobs. Local government gained 2,300 jobs. State government grew by 1,000 jobs. Federal government picked up 200 jobs.
- Information (down 300 jobs) and mining and logging (down 100 jobs) were the only sectors to experience a year-over decline.

IMMEDIATE RELEASE
 SACRAMENTO--ROSEVILLE--ARDEN-ARCADE METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA (MSA)
 (El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, and Yolo Counties)

The unemployment rate in the Sacramento--Roseville--Arden-Arcade MSA was 5.2 percent in September 2016, down from a revised 5.4 percent in August 2016, and below the year-ago estimate of 5.3 percent. This compares with an unadjusted unemployment rate of 5.3 percent for California and 4.8 percent for the nation during the same period. The unemployment rate was 4.9 percent in El Dorado County, 4.5 percent in Placer County, 5.4 percent in Sacramento County, and 5.1 percent in Yolo County.



Industry	Aug-2016	Sep-2016	Change	Sep-2015	Sep-2016	Change
	Revised	Prelim			Prelim	

Total, All Industries	953,300	958,300	5,000	931,300	958,300	27,000
Total Farm	10,400	9,800	(600)	9,800	9,800	0
Total Nonfarm	942,900	948,500	5,600	921,500	948,500	27,000
Mining, Logging, and Construction	60,300	61,800	1,500	53,400	61,800	8,400
Mining and Logging	500	500	0	600	500	(100)
Construction	59,800	61,300	1,500	52,800	61,300	8,500
Manufacturing	38,900	38,200	(700)	37,000	38,200	1,200
Trade, Transportation & Utilities	150,300	149,900	(400)	147,500	149,900	2,400
Information	13,900	13,800	(100)	14,100	13,800	(300)
Financial Activities	52,200	52,200	0	51,000	52,200	1,200
Professional & Business Services	123,200	124,400	1,200	121,600	124,400	2,800
Educational & Health Services	145,900	147,400	1,500	141,500	147,400	5,900
Leisure & Hospitality	97,600	96,100	(1,500)	95,200	96,100	900
Other Services	31,600	32,200	600	31,200	32,200	1,000
Government	229,000	232,500	3,500	229,000	232,500	3,500

Notes: Data not adjusted for seasonality. Data may not add due to rounding
 Labor force data are revised month to month

Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

	Sep 15	Jul 16	Aug 16 Revised	Sep 16 Prelim	Percent Change	
					Month	Year
Civilian Labor Force (1)	1,055,700	1,082,300	1,081,800	1,087,400	0.5%	3.0%
Civilian Employment	999,600	1,020,000	1,023,000	1,031,100	0.8%	3.2%
Civilian Unemployment	56,200	62,300	58,800	56,300	-4.3%	0.2%
Civilian Unemployment Rate	5.3%	5.8%	5.4%	5.2%		
(CA Unemployment Rate)	5.6%	5.9%	5.6%	5.3%		
(U.S. Unemployment Rate)	4.9%	5.1%	5.0%	4.8%		
Total, All Industries (2)	931,300	950,100	953,300	958,300	0.5%	2.9%
Total Farm	9,800	10,900	10,400	9,800	-5.8%	0.0%
Total Nonfarm	921,500	939,200	942,900	948,500	0.6%	2.9%
Total Private	692,500	709,600	713,900	716,000	0.3%	3.4%
Goods Producing	90,400	95,700	99,200	100,000	0.8%	10.6%
Mining, Logging, and Construction	53,400	57,500	60,300	61,800	2.5%	15.7%
Mining and Logging	600	500	500	500	0.0%	-16.7%
Construction	52,800	57,000	59,800	61,300	2.5%	16.1%
Construction of Buildings	10,900	11,700	12,400	12,500	0.8%	14.7%
Specialty Trade Contractors	35,700	39,000	40,400	40,900	1.2%	14.6%
Building Foundation & Exterior Contractors	9,800	11,100	11,500	11,200	-2.6%	14.3%
Building Equipment Contractors	13,800	14,900	15,300	15,300	0.0%	10.9%
Building Finishing Contractors	7,600	8,500	9,100	9,200	1.1%	21.1%
Manufacturing	37,000	38,200	38,900	38,200	-1.8%	3.2%
Durable Goods	25,000	26,100	26,300	25,800	-1.9%	3.2%
Computer & Electronic Product Manufacturing	6,500	6,900	7,000	6,800	-2.9%	4.6%
Nondurable Goods	12,000	12,100	12,600	12,400	-1.6%	3.3%
Food Manufacturing	4,400	4,200	4,800	4,700	-2.1%	6.8%
Service Providing	831,100	843,500	843,700	848,500	0.6%	2.1%
Private Service Providing	602,100	613,900	614,700	616,000	0.2%	2.3%
Trade, Transportation & Utilities	147,500	148,200	150,300	149,900	-0.3%	1.6%
Wholesale Trade	24,900	25,100	25,100	24,700	-1.6%	-0.8%
Merchant Wholesalers, Durable Goods	13,400	13,600	13,600	13,500	-0.7%	0.7%
Merchant Wholesalers, Nondurable Goods	9,000	9,000	9,000	8,900	-1.1%	-1.1%
Retail Trade	97,600	98,400	100,000	99,700	-0.3%	2.2%
Motor Vehicle & Parts Dealer	13,400	13,800	13,900	14,100	1.4%	5.2%
Building Material & Garden Equipment Stores	7,800	8,100	8,100	7,900	-2.5%	1.3%
Grocery Stores	18,600	18,700	18,700	18,600	-0.5%	0.0%
Health & Personal Care Stores	5,300	5,500	5,500	5,500	0.0%	3.8%
Clothing & Clothing Accessories Stores	7,000	6,800	6,900	6,700	-2.9%	-4.3%
Sporting Goods, Hobby, Book & Music Stores	4,400	4,300	4,500	4,700	4.4%	6.8%
General Merchandise Stores	21,100	21,600	21,800	21,800	0.0%	3.3%
Transportation, Warehousing & Utilities	25,000	24,700	25,200	25,500	1.2%	2.0%
Information	14,100	13,900	13,900	13,800	-0.7%	-2.1%
Publishing Industries (except Internet)	2,400	2,300	2,300	2,300	0.0%	-4.2%
Telecommunications	6,200	6,000	6,000	6,000	0.0%	-3.2%
Financial Activities	51,000	51,900	52,200	52,200	0.0%	2.4%
Finance & Insurance	37,100	37,000	36,900	36,900	0.0%	-0.5%
Credit Intermediation & Related Activities	11,900	12,000	12,000	12,000	0.0%	0.8%
Depository Credit Intermediation	6,400	6,400	6,400	6,400	0.0%	0.0%
Nondepository Credit Intermediation	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900	0.0%	0.0%
Insurance Carriers & Related	21,200	21,600	21,500	21,500	0.0%	1.4%
Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	13,900	14,900	15,300	15,300	0.0%	10.1%
Real Estate	10,800	11,500	11,700	11,600	-0.9%	7.4%
Professional & Business Services	121,600	123,000	123,200	124,400	1.0%	2.3%
Professional, Scientific & Technical Services	53,100	54,200	54,400	54,500	0.2%	2.6%
Architectural, Engineering & Related Services	9,000	9,300	9,300	9,200	-1.1%	2.2%
Management of Companies & Enterprises	10,500	10,900	11,000	11,000	0.0%	4.8%
Administrative & Support & Waste Services	58,000	57,900	57,800	58,900	1.9%	1.6%
Administrative & Support Services	55,100	55,100	55,200	56,200	1.8%	2.0%
Employment Services	21,600	21,200	21,600	22,000	1.9%	1.9%

Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

	Sep 15	Jul 16	Aug 16	Sep 16	Percent Change	
			Revised	Prelim	Month	Year
Services to Buildings & Dwellings	11,600	12,100	12,200	12,200	0.0%	5.2%
Educational & Health Services	141,500	145,400	145,900	147,400	1.0%	4.2%
Education Services	11,600	11,600	11,600	12,100	4.3%	4.3%
Health Care & Social Assistance	129,900	133,800	134,300	135,300	0.7%	4.2%
Ambulatory Health Care Services	45,200	47,300	47,300	47,700	0.8%	5.5%
Hospitals	23,900	24,800	24,800	24,900	0.4%	4.2%
Nursing & Residential Care Facilities	16,700	17,000	17,000	17,100	0.6%	2.4%
Leisure & Hospitality	95,200	98,800	97,600	96,100	-1.5%	0.9%
Arts, Entertainment & Recreation	14,100	15,200	14,900	14,500	-2.7%	2.8%
Accommodation & Food Services	81,100	83,600	82,700	81,600	-1.3%	0.6%
Accommodation	8,700	8,600	8,600	8,400	-2.3%	-3.4%
Food Services & Drinking Places	72,400	75,000	74,100	73,200	-1.2%	1.1%
Restaurants	67,800	70,000	69,500	68,900	-0.9%	1.6%
Full-Service Restaurants	32,900	33,700	34,000	33,400	-1.8%	1.5%
Limited-Service Eating Places	34,900	36,300	35,500	35,500	0.0%	1.7%
Other Services	31,200	32,700	31,600	32,200	1.9%	3.2%
Repair & Maintenance	8,900	9,100	9,100	9,000	-1.1%	1.1%
Government	229,000	229,600	229,000	232,500	1.5%	1.5%
Federal Government	13,900	14,200	14,100	14,100	0.0%	1.4%
Department of Defense	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	0.0%	0.0%
State & Local Government	215,100	215,400	214,900	218,400	1.6%	1.5%
State Government	114,300	115,400	114,500	115,300	0.7%	0.9%
State Government Education	27,200	27,900	27,300	27,900	2.2%	2.6%
State Government Excluding Education	87,100	87,500	87,200	87,400	0.2%	0.3%
Local Government	100,800	100,000	100,400	103,100	2.7%	2.3%
Local Government Education	55,400	52,300	53,000	56,600	6.8%	2.2%
Local Government Excluding Education	45,400	47,700	47,400	46,500	-1.9%	2.4%
County	18,500	19,000	18,700	18,800	0.5%	1.6%
City	9,900	10,900	10,800	10,200	-5.6%	3.0%
Special Districts plus Indian Tribes	17,000	17,800	17,900	17,500	-2.2%	2.9%

Notes:

(1) Civilian labor force data are by place of residence; include self-employed individuals, unpaid family workers, household domestic workers, & workers on strike. Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.

(2) Industry employment is by place of work; excludes self-employed individuals, unpaid family workers, household domestic workers, & workers on strike. Data may not add due to rounding.

These data are produced by the Labor Market Information Division of the California Employment Development Department (EDD). Questions should be directed to: Cara Welch 916/227-0298 or Luis Alejo 530/749-4885

These data, as well as other labor market data, are available via the Internet at <http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov>. If you need assistance, please call (916) 262-2162.

#####

**Monthly Labor Force Data for Cities and Census Designated Places (CDP)
 September 2016 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted**

Area Name	Labor Force	Employment	Unemployment Number	Unemployment Rate	Census Ratios Emp	Census Ratios Unemp
Sacramento County	707,400	669,200	38,200	5.4%	1.000000	1.000000
Arden Arcade CDP	45,400	42,600	2,800	6.2%	0.063708	0.073225
Carmichael CDP	30,600	28,700	1,900	6.2%	0.042896	0.049253
Citrus Heights city	44,400	41,900	2,500	5.7%	0.062606	0.066109
Elk Grove CDP	80,600	77,200	3,300	4.2%	0.115430	0.087482
Fair Oaks CDP	16,900	16,100	800	4.6%	0.024089	0.020444
Florin CDP	20,200	18,500	1,700	8.3%	0.027683	0.043810
Folsom city	36,700	35,400	1,300	3.5%	0.052870	0.033508
Foothill Farms CDP	16,300	15,400	900	5.6%	0.023006	0.023675
Galt city	11,200	10,500	700	6.4%	0.015735	0.018722
Gold River CDP	4,200	4,100	100	2.3%	0.006200	0.002541
Isleton city	300	300	0	9.5%	0.000473	0.000867
La Riviera CDP	5,900	5,500	300	5.8%	0.008235	0.008869
North Highlands CDP	18,100	17,200	900	4.9%	0.025707	0.022985
Orangevale CDP	17,700	16,700	1,000	5.5%	0.024970	0.025527
Rancho Cordova City	34,300	32,300	2,100	6.0%	0.048244	0.053744
Rancho Murieta CDP	2,900	2,800	100	3.4%	0.004112	0.002552
Rio Linda CDP	6,800	6,500	400	5.2%	0.009698	0.009363
Rosemont CDP	11,800	11,200	600	5.2%	0.016685	0.015989
Sacramento city	234,200	220,800	13,400	5.7%	0.330031	0.350305
Vineyard CDP	12,900	12,400	500	4.1%	0.018491	0.013869
Walnut Grove CDP	700	600	100	9.6%	0.000889	0.001656
Wilton CDP	1,800	1,700	0	2.6%	0.002610	0.001224

CDP is "Census Designated Place" - a recognized community that was unincorporated at the time of the 2009- 2013 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS).

Notes:

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. All unemployment rates shown are calculated on unrounded data.
- 2) These data are not seasonally adjusted.

Methodology:

Monthly city labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each city at the time of the 2009-2013 American Community Survey. Ratios for cities were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment, unemployment, and population Labor Statistics. For smaller cities and CDP, ratios were calculated from published census data.


Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

Area Name	Labor Force	Employment	Unemployment Number	Rate	Census Ratios	
					Emp	Unemp

Monthly CDP labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each CDP at the Ratios for CDP's were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment and

This method assumes that the rates of change in employment and unemployment, since the 2009-2013 American Community Survey are exactly the same in each city and CDP as at the county accurate). If this assumption is not true for a specific city or CDP, then the estimates for that area may not represent the current economic conditions. Since this assumption is untested, caution should be employed when using these data.

Recent Job Ads for Sacramento Roseville Arden Arcade MSA Not Seasonally Adjusted - September 2016




Employers with Most Job Ads

Dignity Health	- 582
Sutter Health	- 578
University of California, Davis	- 484
Accenture	- 301
Target Corporation	- 288
Kaiser Permanente	- 236
Robert Half International	- 235
Wells Fargo	- 201
Randstad	- 194
San Juan Unified School District	- 186

Occupations with Most Job Ads

Registered Nurses	- 1090
Heavy and Tractor-Trailer Truck Drivers	- 716
Retail Salespersons	- 621
First-Line Supervisors of Retail Sales Workers	- 538
Customer Service Representatives	- 513
Computer Systems Analysts	- 441
First-Line Supervisors of Office & Administrative Support	- 434
Teacher Assistants	- 423
Management Analysts	- 395
Computer User Support Specialists	- 389



REPORT 400 C
Monthly Labor Force Data for Counties
September 2016 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

COUNTY	RANK BY RATE	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYMENT	UNEMPLOYMENT	RATE
STATE TOTAL	---	19,437,500	18,408,300	1,029,100	5.3%
ALAMEDA	9	845,700	809,200	36,500	4.3%
ALPINE	46	500	470	40	7.4%
AMADOR	26	15,180	14,360	820	5.4%
BUTTE	36	103,700	97,400	6,300	6.1%
CALAVERAS	28	21,010	19,860	1,160	5.5%
COLUSA	56	11,540	10,450	1,100	9.5%
CONTRA COSTA	10	563,000	537,800	25,100	4.5%
DEL NORTE	37	10,040	9,390	660	6.5%
EL DORADO	18	91,200	86,800	4,500	4.9%
FRESNO	53	453,900	416,200	37,700	8.3%
GLENN	45	13,270	12,340	930	7.0%
HUMBOLDT	12	63,020	60,120	2,900	4.6%
IMPERIAL	58	79,200	61,200	18,000	22.7%
INYO	17	9,240	8,800	440	4.8%
KERN	55	402,200	365,200	37,000	9.2%
KINGS	53	58,500	53,600	4,900	8.3%
LAKE	35	30,060	28,250	1,820	6.0%
LASSEN	31	11,100	10,460	630	5.7%
LOS ANGELES	21	5,150,200	4,881,700	268,500	5.2%
MADERA	49	62,900	58,000	4,900	7.7%
MARIN	2	145,100	140,400	4,800	3.3%
MARIPOSA	19	8,680	8,250	440	5.0%
MENDOCINO	15	40,590	38,690	1,900	4.7%
MERCED	52	119,000	109,200	9,800	8.2%
MODOC	41	3,400	3,170	220	6.6%
MONO	21	8,220	7,790	430	5.2%
MONTEREY	23	227,800	215,700	12,100	5.3%
NAPA	4	78,400	75,400	3,000	3.8%
NEVADA	12	49,000	46,730	2,280	4.6%
ORANGE	7	1,636,400	1,570,100	66,400	4.1%
PLACER	10	182,900	174,800	8,100	4.5%
PLUMAS	43	8,250	7,690	560	6.7%
RIVERSIDE	37	1,054,100	986,100	68,000	6.5%
SACRAMENTO	26	707,400	669,200	38,200	5.4%
SAN BENITO	33	30,500	28,700	1,800	5.9%
SAN BERNARDINO	33	942,100	886,700	55,500	5.9%
SAN DIEGO	15	1,594,400	1,518,900	75,500	4.7%
SAN FRANCISCO	2	565,800	547,100	18,700	3.3%
SAN JOAQUIN	47	322,800	298,600	24,200	7.5%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	8	145,300	139,200	6,100	4.2%
SAN MATEO	1	456,000	442,100	14,000	3.1%
SANTA BARBARA	12	222,700	212,500	10,200	4.6%
SANTA CLARA	4	1,055,600	1,015,600	40,000	3.8%
SANTA CRUZ	23	146,400	138,600	7,800	5.3%
SHASTA	37	74,900	70,000	4,900	6.5%
SIERRA	41	1,370	1,280	90	6.6%
SISKIYOU	43	18,440	17,210	1,230	6.7%
SOLANO	23	211,500	200,200	11,300	5.3%
SONOMA	4	268,100	258,000	10,100	3.8%
STANISLAUS	47	248,800	230,000	18,700	7.5%
SUTTER	51	44,800	41,300	3,500	7.9%
TEHAMA	37	25,640	23,980	1,660	6.5%
TRINITY	28	5,540	5,230	310	5.5%
TULARE	57	206,000	184,900	21,100	10.2%
TUOLUMNE	32	21,910	20,650	1,260	5.8%
VENTURA	28	429,700	406,200	23,500	5.5%
YOLO	20	105,800	100,400	5,400	5.1%
YUBA	50	28,400	26,200	2,200	7.8%

Notes

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.
- 2) Labor force data for all geographic areas now reflect the March 2015 benchmark and Census 2010 population controls at the state level.

REPORT 400 M
Monthly Labor Force Data for California
Counties and Metropolitan Areas
September 2016 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

Area	RANK BY RATE	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYMENT	UNEMPLOYMENT	RATE
STATE TOTAL	---	19,437,500	18,408,300	1,029,100	5.3%
ANAHEIM-SANTA ANA-IRVINE MD (Orange Co.)	9	1,636,400	1,570,100	66,400	4.1%
BAKERSFIELD MSA (Kern Co.)	61	402,200	365,200	37,000	9.2%
CHICO MSA (Butte Co.)	40	103,700	97,400	6,300	6.1%
EL CENTRO MSA (Imperial Co.)	64	79,200	61,200	18,000	22.7%
FRESNO MSA (Fresno Co.)	59	453,900	416,200	37,700	8.3%
HANFORD CORCORAN MSA (Kings Co.)	59	58,500	53,600	4,900	8.3%
LOS ANGELES LONG BEACH GLENDALE MD (Los Angeles Co.)	24	5,150,200	4,881,700	268,500	5.2%
MADERA MSA (Madera Co.)	54	62,900	58,000	4,900	7.7%
MERCED MSA (Merced Co.)	58	119,000	109,200	9,800	8.2%
MODESTO MSA (Stanislaus Co.)	52	248,800	230,000	18,700	7.5%
NAPA MSA (Napa Co.)	5	78,400	75,400	3,000	3.8%
OAKLAND HAYWARD BERKELEY MD	12	1,408,700	1,347,000	61,700	4.4%
Alameda Co.	11	845,700	809,200	36,500	4.3%
Contra Costa Co.	13	563,000	537,800	25,100	4.5%
OXNARD THOUSAND OAKS VENTURA MSA (Ventura Co.)	32	429,700	406,200	23,500	5.5%
REDDING MSA (Shasta Co.)	42	74,900	70,000	4,900	6.5%
RIVERSIDE SAN BERNARDINO ONTARIO MSA	41	1,996,200	1,872,800	123,500	6.2%
Riverside Co.	42	1,054,100	986,100	68,000	6.5%
San Bernardino Co.	37	942,100	886,700	55,500	5.9%
SACRAMENTO--ROSEVILLE--ARDEN-ARCADE MSA	24	1,087,400	1,031,100	56,300	5.2%
El Dorado Co.	21	91,200	86,800	4,500	4.9%
Placer Co.	13	182,900	174,800	8,100	4.5%
Sacramento Co.	30	707,400	669,200	38,200	5.4%
Yolo Co.	23	105,800	100,400	5,400	5.1%
SALINAS MSA (Monterey Co.)	27	227,800	215,700	12,100	5.3%
SAN DIEGO CARLSBAD MSA (San Diego Co.)	18	1,594,400	1,518,900	75,500	4.7%
SAN FRANCISCO REDWOOD CITY SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO MD	2	1,021,800	989,200	32,700	3.2%
San Francisco Co.	3	565,800	547,100	18,700	3.3%
San Mateo Co.	1	456,000	442,100	14,000	3.1%
SAN JOSE SUNNYVALE SANTA CLARA MSA	5	1,086,200	1,044,300	41,800	3.8%
San Benito Co.	37	30,500	28,700	1,800	5.9%
Santa Clara Co.	5	1,055,600	1,015,600	40,000	3.8%
SAN LUIS OBISPO PASO ROBLES ARROYO GRANDE MSA (San Luis Obispo Co.)	10	145,300	139,200	6,100	4.2%
SAN RAFAEL MD (Marin Co.)	3	145,100	140,400	4,800	3.3%
SANTA CRUZ WATSONVILLE MSA (Santa Cruz Co.)	27	146,400	138,600	7,800	5.3%
SANTA MARIA SANTA BARBARA MSA (Santa Barbara Co.)	15	222,700	212,500	10,200	4.6%
SANTA ROSA MSA (Sonoma Co.)	5	268,100	258,000	10,100	3.8%
STOCKTON LODI MSA (San Joaquin Co.)	52	322,800	298,600	24,200	7.5%
VALLEJO FAIRFIELD MSA (Solano Co.)	27	211,500	200,200	11,300	5.3%
VISALIA PORTERVILLE MSA (Tulare Co.)	63	206,000	184,900	21,100	10.2%
YUBA CITY MSA	56	73,300	67,500	5,800	7.9%
Sutter Co.	56	44,800	41,300	3,500	7.9%
Yuba Co.	55	28,400	26,200	2,200	7.8%
Alpine Co.	51	500	470	40	7.4%
Amador Co.	30	15,180	14,360	820	5.4%
Calaveras Co.	32	21,010	19,860	1,160	5.5%
Colusa Co.	62	11,540	10,450	1,100	9.5%
Del Norte Co.	42	10,040	9,390	660	6.5%
Glenn Co.	50	13,270	12,340	930	7.0%
Humboldt Co.	15	63,020	60,120	2,900	4.6%
Inyo Co.	20	9,240	8,800	440	4.8%
Lake Co.	39	30,060	28,250	1,820	6.0%
Lassen Co.	35	11,100	10,460	630	5.7%
Mariposa Co.	22	8,680	8,250	440	5.0%
Mendocino Co.	18	40,590	38,690	1,900	4.7%
Modoc Co.	46	3,400	3,170	220	6.6%
Mono Co.	24	8,220	7,790	430	5.2%
Nevada Co.	15	49,000	46,730	2,280	4.6%
Plumas Co.	48	8,250	7,690	560	6.7%
Sierra Co.	46	1,370	1,280	90	6.6%
Siskiyou Co.	48	18,440	17,210	1,230	6.7%
Tehama Co.	42	25,640	23,980	1,660	6.5%
Trinity Co.	32	5,540	5,230	310	5.5%
Tuolumne Co.	36	21,910	20,650	1,260	5.8%

Notes

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.
- 2) Labor force data for all geographic areas now reflect the March 2015 benchmark and Census 2010 population controls at the state level.

REPORT 400 R
Monthly Labor Force Data for Regional Planning Units
September 2016 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

REGION	RANK BY RATE	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYMENT	UNEMPLOYMENT	RATE
STATE TOTAL	---	19,437,500	18,408,300	1,029,100	5.3%
COASTAL REGION	6	742,200	706,100	36,200	4.9%
MONTEREY	---	227,800	215,700	12,100	5.3%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	---	145,300	139,200	6,100	4.2%
SANTA BARBARA	---	222,700	212,500	10,200	4.6%
SANTA CRUZ	---	146,400	138,600	7,800	5.3%
MIDDLE SIERRA	10	66,800	63,100	3,700	5.5%
AMADOR	---	15,180	14,360	820	5.4%
CALAVERAS	---	21,010	19,860	1,160	5.5%
MARIPOSA	---	8,680	8,250	440	5.0%
TUOLUMNE	---	21,910	20,650	1,260	5.8%
HUMBOLDT	5	63,000	60,100	2,900	4.6%
HUMBOLDT	---	63,020	60,120	2,900	4.6%
NORTH STATE	12	311,300	292,500	18,800	6.0%
BUTTE	---	103,700	97,400	6,300	6.1%
DEL NORTE	---	10,040	9,390	660	6.5%
LASSEN	---	11,100	10,460	630	5.7%
MODOC	---	3,400	3,170	220	6.6%
NEVADA	---	49,000	46,730	2,280	4.6%
PLUMAS	---	8,250	7,690	560	6.7%
SHASTA	---	74,900	70,000	4,900	6.5%
SIERRA	---	1,370	1,280	90	6.6%
SISKIYOU	---	18,440	17,210	1,230	6.7%
TEHAMA	---	25,640	23,980	1,660	6.5%
TRINITY	---	5,540	5,230	310	5.5%
CAPITOL REGION	8	1,186,000	1,121,800	64,100	5.4%
ALPINE	---	500	470	40	7.4%
COLUSA	---	11,540	10,450	1,100	9.5%
EL DORADO	---	91,200	86,800	4,500	4.9%
GLENN	---	13,270	12,340	930	7.0%
PLACER	---	182,900	174,800	8,100	4.5%
SACRAMENTO	---	707,400	669,200	38,200	5.4%
SUTTER	---	44,800	41,300	3,500	7.9%
YOLO	---	105,800	100,400	5,400	5.1%
YUBA	---	28,400	26,200	2,200	7.8%
EAST BAY	4	1,408,700	1,347,000	61,700	4.4%
ALAMEDA	---	845,700	809,200	36,500	4.3%
CONTRA COSTA	---	563,000	537,800	25,100	4.5%
NORTH BAY	3	773,700	740,900	32,900	4.3%
LAKE	---	30,060	28,250	1,820	6.0%
MARIN	---	145,100	140,400	4,800	3.3%
MENDOCINO	---	40,590	38,690	1,900	4.7%
NAPA	---	78,400	75,400	3,000	3.8%
SOLANO	---	211,500	200,200	11,300	5.3%
SONOMA	---	268,100	258,000	10,100	3.8%
BAY-PENINSULA	1	2,108,000	2,033,500	74,500	3.5%
SAN BENITO	---	30,500	28,700	1,800	5.9%
SAN FRANCISCO	---	565,800	547,100	18,700	3.3%
SAN MATEO	---	456,000	442,100	14,000	3.1%
SANTA CLARA	---	1,055,600	1,015,600	40,000	3.8%
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AND ASSOCIATED COUNTIES	14	1,891,500	1,732,400	159,100	8.4%
FRESNO	---	453,900	416,200	37,700	8.3%
INYO	---	9,240	8,800	440	4.8%
KERN	---	402,200	365,200	37,000	9.2%
KINGS	---	58,500	53,600	4,900	8.3%
MADERA	---	62,900	58,000	4,900	7.7%
MERCED	---	119,000	109,200	9,800	8.2%
MONO	---	8,220	7,790	430	5.2%
SAN JOAQUIN	---	322,800	298,600	24,200	7.5%
STANISLAUS	---	248,800	230,000	18,700	7.5%
TULARE	---	206,000	184,900	21,100	10.2%
SOUTHERN BORDER	11	1,673,600	1,580,100	93,500	5.6%
IMPERIAL	---	79,200	61,200	18,000	22.7%
SAN DIEGO	---	1,594,400	1,518,900	75,500	4.7%
LOS ANGELES BASIN	7	5,150,200	4,881,700	268,500	5.2%
LOS ANGELES	---	5,150,200	4,881,700	268,500	5.2%
ORANGE	2	1,636,400	1,570,100	66,400	4.1%
ORANGE	---	1,636,400	1,570,100	66,400	4.1%
INLAND EMPIRE	13	1,996,200	1,872,800	123,500	6.2%
RIVERSIDE	---	1,054,100	986,100	68,000	6.5%
SAN BERNARDINO	---	942,100	886,700	55,500	5.9%
VENTURA	9	429,700	406,200	23,500	5.5%
VENTURA	---	429,700	406,200	23,500	5.5%

Notes

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.
- 2) Labor force data for all geographic areas now reflect the March 2015 benchmark and Census 2010 population controls at the state level.

REPORT 400 W
Monthly Labor Force Data for Local Workforce Development Areas
September 2016 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

REGION	RANK BY RATE	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYMENT	UNEMPLOYMENT	RATE
STATE TOTAL	---	19,437,500	18,408,300	1,029,100	5.3%
ALAMEDA COUNTY Alameda County, except Oakland City	6	629,500	604,500	25,000	4.0%
OAKLAND CITY Oakland City	27	216,200	204,600	11,600	5.4%
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY Contra Costa County, except Richmond City	10	508,700	486,300	22,300	4.4%
RICHMOND CITY Richmond City	22	54,300	51,500	2,800	5.2%
LOS ANGELES COUNTY Los Angeles County, except Los Angeles City, Verdugo Consortium, Foothill Consortium, South Bay Consortium, Southeast Los Angeles County Consortium, and Pacific Gateway Workforce Investment Network	21	1,894,000	1,796,500	97,500	5.1%
LOS ANGELES CITY Los Angeles City	31	2,064,700	1,950,600	114,100	5.5%
VERDUGO CONSORTIUM Burbank, Glendale, and La Cañada Flintridge Cities	16	173,300	165,300	8,000	4.6%
FOOTHILL CONSORTIUM Arcadia, Duarte, Monrovia, Pasadena, Sierra Madre, and South Pasadena Cities	8	162,600	155,900	6,700	4.1%
SOUTH BAY CONSORTIUM Carson, El Segundo, Gardena, Hawthorne, Hermosa Beach, Inglewood, Lawndale, Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach, Lomita, and Torrance Cities	15	372,200	355,000	17,200	4.6%
SELACO (SOUTHEAST LOS ANGELES COUNTY CONSORTIUM) Artesia, Bellflower, Cerritos, Downey, Hawaiian Gardens, Lakewood, and Norwalk Cities	12	231,900	221,300	10,600	4.6%
PACIFIC GATEWAY WORKFORCE INVESTMENT NETWORK Long Beach and Signal Hill Cities	32	251,500	237,100	14,400	5.7%
ORANGE COUNTY Orange County, except Anaheim and Santa Ana Cities	5	1,298,100	1,248,300	49,700	3.8%
ANAHEIM CITY Anaheim City	23	174,700	165,700	9,000	5.2%
SANTA ANA CITY Santa Ana City	17	163,700	156,000	7,600	4.7%
SAN JOSE - SILICON VALLEY Santa Clara County, except Cupertino, Los Altos, Milpitas, Mountain View, Palo Alto, Santa Clara, and Sunnyvale Cities	7	724,000	694,500	29,500	4.1%
NOVA (NORTH VALLEY CONSORTIUM) Cupertino, Los Altos, Milpitas, Mountain View, Palo Alto, Santa Clara, and Sunnyvale Cities; San Mateo County	1	787,700	763,200	24,500	3.1%
GOLDEN SIERRA CONSORTIUM Alpine, El Dorado, and Placer Counties	14	274,700	262,000	12,700	4.6%
KERN, INYO, MONO CONSORTIUM Kern, Inyo, and Mono Counties	44	419,600	381,800	37,900	9.0%
MOTHER LODE CONSORTIUM Amador, Calaveras, Mariposa, and Tuolumne Counties	30	66,800	63,100	3,700	5.5%
NORTEC (NORTHERN RURAL TRAINING AND EMPLOYMENT CONSORTIUM) Butte, Del Norte, Lassen, Nevada, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Tehama, and Trinity Counties	35	311,300	292,500	18,800	6.0%
NCCC (NORTH CENTRAL COUNTIES CONSORTIUM) Colusa, Glenn, Sutter, and Yuba Counties	40	98,100	90,300	7,800	7.9%
WORKFORCE ALLIANCE OF THE NORTH BAY (NORTH BAY CONSORTIUM) Napa, Lake, and Marin Counties	4	253,600	244,000	9,600	3.8%
FRESNO COUNTY Fresno County	42	453,900	416,200	37,700	8.3%
HUMBOLDT COUNTY Humboldt County	13	63,000	60,100	2,900	4.6%
IMPERIAL COUNTY Imperial County	46	79,200	61,200	18,000	22.7%
KINGS COUNTY Kings County	43	58,500	53,600	4,900	8.3%
MADERA COUNTY Madera County	39	62,900	58,000	4,900	7.7%
MENDOCINO COUNTY Mendocino County	18	40,600	38,700	1,900	4.7%
MERCED COUNTY Merced County	41	119,000	109,200	9,800	8.2%
MONTEREY COUNTY Monterey County	24	227,800	215,700	12,100	5.3%
RIVERSIDE COUNTY Riverside County	36	1,054,100	986,100	68,000	6.5%
SACRAMENTO CITY/COUNTY Sacramento County	28	707,400	669,200	38,200	5.4%
SAN BENITO COUNTY	34	30,500	28,700	1,800	5.9%

San Benito County					
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY San Bernardino County	33	942,100	886,700	55,500	5.9%
SAN DIEGO CITY/COUNTY San Diego County	19	1,594,400	1,518,900	75,500	4.7%
SAN FRANCISCO CITY/COUNTY San Francisco County	2	565,800	547,100	18,700	3.3%
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY San Joaquin County	37	322,800	298,600	24,200	7.5%
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY San Luis Obispo County	9	145,300	139,200	6,100	4.2%
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY Santa Barbara County	11	222,700	212,500	10,200	4.6%
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY Santa Cruz County	26	146,400	138,600	7,800	5.3%
SOLANO COUNTY Solano County	25	211,500	200,200	11,300	5.3%
SONOMA COUNTY Sonoma County	3	268,100	258,000	10,100	3.8%
STANISLAUS COUNTY Stanislaus County	38	248,800	230,000	18,700	7.5%
TULARE COUNTY Tulare County	45	206,000	184,900	21,100	10.2%
VENTURA COUNTY Ventura County	29	429,700	406,200	23,500	5.5%
YOLO COUNTY Yolo County	20	105,800	100,400	5,400	5.1%

Notes

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.
- 2) Labor force data for all geographic areas now reflect the March 2015 benchmark and Census 2010 population controls at the state level.

**Monthly Labor Force Data for Cities and Census Designated Places (CDP)
 September 2016 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted**

Area Name	Labor Force	Employment	Unemployment Number	Unemployment Rate	Census Ratios Emp	Census Ratios Unemp
El Dorado County	91,200	86,800	4,500	4.9%	1.000000	1.000000
Cameron Park CDP	9,000	8,700	400	4.2%	0.099797	0.085585
Diamond Springs CDP	5,100	4,800	300	6.1%	0.055621	0.070626
El Dorado Hills CDP	22,000	21,300	800	3.4%	0.245026	0.169084
Georgetown CDP	1,000	900	100	8.5%	0.010742	0.019402
Placerville city	4,700	4,400	300	6.6%	0.050999	0.070532
Pollock Pines CDP	3,100	3,000	100	3.9%	0.034801	0.027652
Shingle Springs CDP	2,600	2,500	100	4.1%	0.029098	0.024025
South Lake Tahoe city	11,900	11,200	600	5.4%	0.129178	0.143933

CDP is "Census Designated Place" - a recognized community that was unincorporated at the time of the 2009- 2013 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS).

Notes:

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. All unemployment rates shown are calculated on unrounded data.
- 2) These data are not seasonally adjusted.

Methodology:

Monthly city labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each city at the time of the 2009-2013 American Community Survey. Ratios for cities were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment, unemployment, and population Labor Statistics. For smaller cities and CDP, ratios were calculated from published census data.

Monthly CDP labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each CDP at the Ratios for CDP's were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment and

This method assumes that the rates of change in employment and unemployment, since the 2009-2013 American Community Survey are exactly the same in each city and CDP as at the county accurate). If this assumption is not true for a specific city or CDP, then the estimates for that area may not represent the current economic conditions. Since this assumption is untested, caution should be employed when using these data.

**Monthly Labor Force Data for Cities and Census Designated Places (CDP)
 September 2016 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted**

Area Name	Labor Force	Employment	Unemployment Number	Unemployment Rate	Census Ratios Emp	Census Ratios Unemp
Placer County	182,900	174,800	8,100	4.5%	1.000000	1.000000
Auburn city	7,000	6,600	400	5.2%	0.037945	0.044642
Colfax city	1,100	1,000	100	8.5%	0.005861	0.011639
Dollar Point CDP	600	600	0	1.1%	0.003152	0.000685
Foresthill CDP	1,000	900	0	3.8%	0.005264	0.004451
Granite Bay CDP	11,700	11,200	500	4.1%	0.064164	0.058659
Kings Beach CDP	2,600	2,400	100	5.8%	0.013845	0.018260
Lincoln city	19,000	18,100	900	4.9%	0.103334	0.113436
Loomis town	3,200	3,100	100	3.0%	0.017606	0.011748
Meadow Vista CDP	1,500	1,400	100	3.4%	0.008042	0.006163
North Auburn CDP	5,700	5,500	300	4.4%	0.031381	0.031155
Rocklin city	31,000	29,600	1,400	4.5%	0.169433	0.171575
Roseville city	65,900	63,200	2,800	4.2%	0.361434	0.339981
Sunnyside Tahoe City CDP	1,100	1,100	100	4.8%	0.006069	0.006676
Tahoe Vista CDP	1,000	1,000	0	4.1%	0.005670	0.005136

CDP is "Census Designated Place" - a recognized community that was unincorporated at the time of the 2009- 2013 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS).

Notes:

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. All unemployment rates shown are calculated on unrounded data.
- 2) These data are not seasonally adjusted.

Methodology:

Monthly city labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each city at the time of the 2009-2013 American Community Survey. Ratios for cities were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment, unemployment, and population Labor Statistics. For smaller cities and CDP, ratios were calculated from published census data.

Monthly CDP labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each CDP at the time of the 2009-2013 American Community Survey. Ratios for CDP's were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment and unemployment data.

This method assumes that the rates of change in employment and unemployment, since the 2009-2013 American Community Survey are exactly the same in each city and CDP as at the county level (i.e., the rates are accurate). If this assumption is not true for a specific city or CDP, then the estimates for that area may be less accurate.

Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

Area Name	Labor Force	Employ- ment	Unemployment Number	Rate	Census Ratios Emp	Unemp
------------------	------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------	------------------------------	--------------

may not represent the current economic conditions. Since this assumption is untested, caution should be employed when using these data.

**Monthly Labor Force Data for Cities and Census Designated Places (CDP)
 September 2016 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted**

Area Name	Labor Force	Employment	Unemployment Number	Unemployment Rate	Census Ratios Emp	Census Ratios Unemp
Yolo County	105,800	100,400	5,400	5.1%	1.000000	1.000000
Davis city	35,700	34,300	1,400	3.9%	0.341537	0.258240
Esparto CDP	1,400	1,300	100	5.8%	0.012881	0.014454
West Sacramento city	25,900	24,400	1,600	6.0%	0.242575	0.284938
Winters city	3,800	3,700	200	4.6%	0.036565	0.032407
Woodland city	29,800	28,200	1,600	5.5%	0.280928	0.299300

CDP is "Census Designated Place" - a recognized community that was unincorporated at the time of the 2009- 2013 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS).

Notes:

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. All unemployment rates shown are calculated on unrounded data.
- 2) These data are not seasonally adjusted.

Methodology:

Monthly city labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each city at the time of the 2009-2013 American Community Survey. Ratios for cities were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment, unemployment, and population Labor Statistics. For smaller cities and CDP, ratios were calculated from published census data.

Monthly CDP labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each CDP at the Ratios for CDP's were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment and

This method assumes that the rates of change in employment and unemployment, since the 2009-2013 American Community Survey are exactly the same in each city and CDP as at the county accurate). If this assumption is not true for a specific city or CDP, then the estimates for that area may not represent the current economic conditions. Since this assumption is untested, caution should be employed when using these data.

ITEM IV-D - INFORMATION

COMMITTEE UPDATES

BACKGROUND:

This item provides an opportunity for a report from the following committees:

- Youth Committee – Brian Broadway
- Planning/Oversight Committee – Anette Smith-Dohring
- Employer Outreach Committee – Rick Wylie
- Board Development Committee

ITEM V - OTHER REPORTS

1. CHAIR'S REPORT

The Chair of the Sacramento Works, Inc. Board, on a regular basis, receives numerous items of information concerning employment and training legislation, current programs, agency activities, and miscellaneous articles.

The important information from the material received and meetings attended will be shared with the entire Board and the method proposed by the Chair is to give a verbal report at each regular meeting. It will also allow time for the Board to provide input on items that may require future action.

2. MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

This item provides the opportunity for Workforce Development Board members to raise any items for consideration not covered under the formal agenda. It also provides the opportunity for Board members to request staff to research or follow up on specific requests or to ask that certain items be placed on the next agenda.

3. COUNSEL REPORT:

The Sacramento Works, Inc. Legal Counsel is the firm of Phillip M. Cunningham, Attorney at Law. This item provides the opportunity for Legal Counsel to provide the Sacramento Works, Inc. Board with an oral or written report on legal activities

4. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION:

Participation of the general public at Sacramento Works, Inc. Board meetings is encouraged. The Sacramento Works, Inc. Board has decided to incorporate participants of the audience as part of its agenda for all meetings. Members of the audience are asked to address their requests to the Chair, if they wish to speak.