WIB BOARD MEMBERS

LARRY BOOTH Frank M. Booth, Inc.

LESLIE BOTOS

BRIAN BROADWAY

BILL CAMP

Sacramento Central Labor Council

California Human Development Corporation

CORFENA CONLEY

cramento Veterans Resource Center

LYNN R. CONNER

MICHAEL DOURGARIAN MDDV, Inc.

DIANE FERRARI

Employment Development Department

TROY GIVANS

County of Sacramento, Economic Development

DAVID W. GORDON Sacramento County Office of Education

BERNADETTE HALBROOK

JASON HANSON

Sierra Pacific Home and Comfort, Inc.

BARBARA HAYES

Sacramento Area Commerce & Trade Organization

THOMAS P. KANDRIS American River Packaging

Sacramento Sierra Building & Construction Trades Council

GARY R. KING SMUD

DANIEL KOENCalifornia Teachers Association

KATHY KOSSICK

ramento Employment & Training Agency

STEVEN M. LADD. Ed.D

Elk Grove Unified School District

PAUL LAKE

County Department of Human Assistance

JAMES E. LAMBERT Sacramento Builder's Exchange

FRANK A. LOUIE

JOANNE MAHANEY-BUEHLER

ELIZABETH MCCLATCHY

DENNIS MORIN

acramento Area Electrical Training Center

ROGER NIELLO

acramento Metropolitan Chamber of

California Employers Association

MARTHA PENRY

alifornia School Employees Association

DEBORAH PORTELA

Casa Coloma Health Care Center

Sacramento Sierra Building & Construction Trades Council

LORENDA T. SANCHEZ

California Indian Manpower Consortium

ANETTE SMITH-DOHRING

Health - Sacramento Sierra Region

MICHAEL R. TESTA

Sacramento Convention & Visitors Bureau

DR. DAN THROGMORTON

Los Rios Community College District

KINGMAN TSANG

TERRY A. WILLS, ESQ.

RICK WYLIF Beutler Corporation

DAVID P. YOUNGER

Lionakis Beaumont Design Group



SACRAMENTO WORKS, INC. PLANNING/OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

Wednesday, June 20, 2012 Date:

8:30 a.m. Time:

Location: SETA - Board Room

925 Del Paso Blvd., Suite 100

Sacramento, CA 95815

AGENDA

- Call to Order/Roll Call 1.
- 2. Approval of the May 16, 2012 Meeting Minutes
- 3. Discussion: Criteria for High Performing Workforce **Investment Boards**
 - Summary of Criteria used in Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, Kentucky
 - Draft California Issue paper
- Input from the public 4.
- Adjournment

Committee Members: Lynn Conner (Chair), Leslie Botos, Paul Castro, Coreena Conley, Bernadette Halbrook, Matt Kelly, Kathy Kossick, Paul Lake, Jim Lambert, Frank Louie, Dan Throgmorton

DISTRIBUTION DATE: TUESDAY, JUNE 12, 2012

SACRAMENTO WORKS 925 Del Paso Boulevard, Suite 100 - Sacramento, CA 95815 www.sacramentoworks.org • PHONE (916) 263-3800

Planning/Oversight Committee

Minutes/Synopsis

(Minutes reflect the actual progression of the meeting.)

SETA Board Room 925 Del Paso Blvd., Suite 100 Sacramento, CA 95815 Wednesday, May 16, 2012 8:30 a.m.

1. Call to Order/Roll Call: Ms. Conner called the meeting to order at 8:34 a.m.

Present: Paul Castro, Lynn Conner, Kathy Kossick, Paul Lake, Frank Louie, Dan Throgmorton

Absent: Leslie Botos, Coreena Conley, Bernadette Halbrook, Matt Kelly, Jim Lambert

Others Present: Robin Purdy, Phil Cunningham, Marty Araiza, Cindy Sherwood-Green, Roy Kim, Marsha Strode, Christine Welsch, Julie Davis Jaffe, Edward Procter.

2. Approval of the March 21, 2012 Meeting Minutes

Minutes were reviewed; no questions or comments.

Moved/Lake, second/Kossick, to approve the minutes of the March 21, 2012 meeting.

Voice Vote: Unanimous approval.

4. <u>Approval of the Workforce Investment Act Sacramento Works Resource</u> Allocation Plan for 2012-2013

Ms. Purdy stated that most of the things in the packet are policy issues that will impact the plan. There is a requirement that a certain amount of funds must be spent on training activities. The resource allocation plan is the WIB's identification of how the WIA funds will be spent. The plan has been split up into categories. Talent engagement is what is spent at the career centers. No change is being recommended in talent engagement (28%). Talent Development is for enrollment into training programs, including GED preparation; 46% is recommended going into talent development.

Staff is recommending that 40% of the funds go to scholarships, tuition to local training providers, 29% goes to OJT which is an increase from last year. Staff is seeing long-term job retention and wage increase in the OJT program.

The Resource Allocation Plan recommended for approval consists of:

28.5% Talent Engagement (Recruitment and Referral)

46% Talent Development (Training)

7% Talent Marketing (Employer Services/ On-the-Job Training)

2% Board Initiatives

9% One-Stop Support

7.5% Administration

100% Total

The budget went from \$8.4 million to \$8.7 million so there is more money in all of the categories.

Ms. Purdy will modify the plan to add the number of people to be served in each of the activities.

Moved/Throgmorton, second/Louie, to approve the Resource Allocation Plan for 2012-2013.

Voice Vote: Unanimous approval.

3. Policy discussion on High Performing Workforce Investment Boards

Ms. Purdy reported that recently two pieces of legislation were passed that will affect how the Agency does business.

Ms. Brown stated that this is an attempt to raise the bar for the WIBs. There will be incentive funds attached to becoming a high performing WIB. Additional funds will be allocated to do board initiative events. The California Workforce Association is collecting input from local WIBs to share with the state. Staff provided information that was enacted in Kentucky. There are 11 criteria and 55 indicators. The goals are:

- 1. Working strategically,
- 2. WIBs effectively and efficiently manages assets in the community
- 3. WIBs have a strong and engaged Board that drives the mission and implementation steps.

It is important to collect input in on what is important for the Sacramento region. There needs to be discussion as to the best way to collect information from the WIB members and get it to the California Workforce Investment Board.

Dr. Throgmorton stated that Kentucky is well respected as well as Sacramento. He would be interested in the perception the DOL has on the Kentucky model and whether they think it would be the ideal model. Also, Kentucky would not probably think regionally because smaller states would have different needs.

Mr. Castro suggested looking at what studies have shown and that the WIA programs provided locally are effective. But there also needs to be a definition

about what is considered to be effective. Dr. Throgmorton stated that maybe the Futures Committee of the regional WIBs could review this issue and have input. The Futures Committee has great energy and his experience attending those meetings has been very positive.

Mr. Lake stated that this is a very structured process and when he sees outcomes, it means jobs to him. The Board can have all of the structure in place and do all of the administrative things correctly but still not achieve 'high performance' when it means getting people into jobs.

Ms. Conner suggested that perhaps we have some full board discussion/retreat to discuss what board members consider to be high performing standards. There are great ideas but there could be so much more. Ms. Kossick suggested a two-hour planning committee to discuss this. Dr. Throgmorton stated that this is a great opportunity for the Sacramento WIB to take a leadership role.

Mr. Louie inquired who is perceived to be the top 2-3 WIBS in the country and benchmark Sacramento against the other WIBs. This would be a good way to measure our effectiveness. Ms. Purdy will contact DOL to find out who the top WIBs are and see how the Sacramento WIB matches up.

Mr. Lake wants to have a more lengthy discussion with even more board members. Ms. Kossick stated that members from the full WIB meeting will be invited to the June 20 Planning/Oversight Committee. This will be the only agenda item.

Ms. Kossick encouraged members to urge other board members at the full WIB meeting to participate.

5. <u>Information Item</u>: SB734 - Training Expenditure Requirements for Local Workforce Investment Boards

This is just information and something that was passed by the state. Mr. Bill Camp was instrumental in the development of document. It will not impact Sacramento because the Agency has always had a high training goal. In 2001-2005, expenditures shifted services away from training to the one stop career centers. This is a move back to training to provide services to more unemployed people. This reverses the trend.

- **6. Input from the public:** No comments.
- 7. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 9:08 a.m.

ITEM 3 – DISCUSSION

HIGH-PERFORMING WORKFORCE INVESTMENT BOARDS

BACKGROUND:

In September 2011, SB698 was passed and signed into law. This bill requires the Governor to establish, through the California Workforce Investment Board, standards for certification of high-performance local workforce investment boards (LWIBs). The bill requires the Governor and the Legislature, in consultation with the California Workforce Investment Board, to reserve specified federal discretionary funds for high-performance local workforce investment boards.

The California Workforce Investment Board is currently drafting the High Performing WIB policy. A document titled "Kentucky High-Performing WIB Standards: Baseline Year 2010" was used as the basis for the policy discussion.

The Planning/Oversight Committee discussed high performing Workforce Investment Board (WIB) standards at their May 16, 2012 meeting and has set aside their June 20 meeting to develop input to provide to the California Workforce Association and the California Workforce Investment Board on the High Performing WIB policy. All Sacramento Works board members are invited to join the discussion. In addition, information on the meeting is being sent to all Workforce Investment Boards in the region and the California Workforce Association in order to ensure input. The Planning/Oversight Committee suggested that the Futures Committee of the Regional Workforce Investment Board Collaborative add this item to their action plan.

Initial thoughts from the Planning/Oversight Committee members regarding High Performing Boards include:

- 1. Research should be conducted to identify "high performing" WIBs nationally, and the federal perspective/definition of high performing boards.
- 2. High Performing WIBs should:
 - Develop a local/regional definition of effective/high performing board
 - Engage with the California Workforce Investment Board and its committees
 - Focus on accomplishments and achieving outcomes, not only process and structure
 - Reach out regionally and engage with other regional boards and stakeholders
 - Have a strategy for communicating success
 - Identify 2-3 high performing WIBs in the country and benchmark outcomes against them.

Attached, for your review is a summary of the High Performing WIB Criteria from three early implementing states and a draft matrix of the California Policy.

Criteria for High Performing Workforce Investment Boards Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, and Kentucky

Pennsylvania Criteria	Massachusetts Criteria	Kentucky Criteria
using the most current available data, and is effectively using this information to guide policy and investments.	A high performing board develops a strategic vision that is evidence-based, addresses local and regional issues, needs of the business community and general workforce, including the area's targeted adult and youth populations and is guided by clearly defined objectives by which the board measures its progress.	
The LWIB is investing resources to promote the development of skills and career ladders in the commonwealth's High Priority Occupations.		WIBs are fiscally responsive to shifts in trends and economic conditions.
targeted industry sub-clusters and these partnerships are developing innovative approaches	alignment of fodoral, state and local partners, (e.g. board's	Resources and assets are coordinated and leveraged with other workforce, economic development and educational organizations/agencies
The LWIB has a clear strategic direction and has aligned relevant regional resources such as regional workforce, education, community development, welfare and economic development organizations, programs and initiatives to that direction.	A high performing board aligns area resource allocation to its strategic objectives.	WIBs have clear strategic direction, with strategic plans that are goal/objective orients and emerged from an inclusive process
cluster-linked integrated business services plan. The business services plan ensures continuous	A high performing board seeks out and builds collaborations with business/industry, economic development, education and other key partners to align labor force supply with business demand	The board has adopted a sector approach to workforce development
The LWIB is ensuring that its PA CareerLinks provide excellent and fully accessible services to both employers and job seekers.	A high performing board evaluates and acts to improve and elevate the performance of the regional service delivery system, including the region's One-Stop Career Centers and Youth Providers.	The strategic plan is part of a continuous improvement process

Criteria for High Performing Workforce Investment Boards Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, and Kentucky

Pennsylvania Criteria	Massachusetts Criteria	Kentucky Criteria
The LWIB maintains sound fiscal practices, develops the budget with Board member's input and the budget process ensures that WIA investments are aligned with the strategic direction established by the Board.	A high performing board aligns and manages financial resources (public, private, foundation, etc.) to the strategic plan	WIBs effectively and efficiently manage the assets in the community
		Staff develops the budget under the direction of the WIB
The LWIB is in compliance with the negotiated requirements of the quantitative measures of the Pennsylvania Performance Management Plan.		WIBs are measuring success using locally relevant outcomes beyond the mandatory WIA performance measures.
The LWIB is structured, staffed, and funded so that it can carry out its oversight and strategic roles in the local area.	A high performing board develops and follows a revenue plan	The Board is diverse, connected to the community and business driven
	A high performing board operates as a functioning business entity	
	A high performing board operationalizes functional responsibilities with respect to services for local businesses in alignment with the local infrastructure.	
	A high performing board actively generates membership commitment to the work of the board	

Criteria for High Performing Workforce Investment Board California Draft Policy

Category	Criteria	
Strategic planning and implementation:	The Plan Meets the Local Planning Requirements in SB 698, including receiving input from the entire workforce pipeline, being	
Development and implementation of an actionable	data driven, efidenced-based and relevant to the regional labor market. [Required]	
strategic plan through an inclusive stakeholder	The Plan's Scope of Vision is Strategic and Comprehensive.	
process that articulates key workforce issues and		
prioritized strategies for impacting them, both	Key Stakeholders are Actively Engaged both in the Planning and Implementation.	
within the local workforce area and, where	Rey Stakeholders are retirely Engaged Both in the Flamming and implementation.	
applicable, the overall labor market.	The Plan's Goals and Strategies are Evidence-Based.	
Investment in training/skills development/career	The LWIB meets the requirements of SB 734, to utilize 25% of WIA funds for training activities. [Required]	
pathways: The LWIB prioritizes increasing worker		
skills and workplace competencies, and	The LWIB prioritizes training for occupations in demand in the local economy resulting in completion and attainment of a	
development/use of career pathways that connect	degree and/or other credentials valued and used by industries within the region.	
skills to good jobs.	The LWIB emphasizes career pathways as a framework through which learners can connect their skills and interests with viable	
	career options.	
	The LWIB partners with employers, educators, and other stakeholders continually to identify funding to support worker training	
	and education that results in improved skills, credentials, and employment.	
Business Services/Partnerships/Sector Strategies:	The LWIB has adopted a business services plan, consistent with its Strategic Plan, that integrates local business involvement	
The LWIB partners effectively with businesses to	with workforce initiatives.	
identify and resolve skill gaps, working in particular		
through industry sector partnerships.	a primary strategy.	
	The LWIB facilitates and/or participates in unified workforce services support to employers within their labor market,	
	integrating with other relevant LWIBs, educators, and other partners.	
	The LWIB leads in identifying and obtaining resources to sustain operation of industry sector partnerships over time.	
<u>Youth strategies:</u> The LWIB is a strategic leader in	The LWIB is a partner with K-12 education and others on strategies that reduce high school dropout rates. The	
building partnerships to reduce high school	LWIB is a partner in strategies to re-engage disconnected youth. The LWIB	
dropout rates, effectively re-engage disconnected	partners with employers, educators and others to help you understand career pathway options. The LWIB	
youth in education and work, to help youth	encourages youth to focus on attainment of post-secondary degrees and other credentials important to employers in the	
understand career pathway options, and to	LWIB's labor market	
encourage attainment of post-secondary degrees		
and other credentials valued by industry in the		
LWIB's labor market.	The IMAID are such as the control of	
Managing the work of the WIB: The LWIB has a	The LWIB membership meets all legal requirements and is representative of the community. [Required]	
strong, engaged board that represents the	The LWIB meets all WIA requirements (Required).	
community, measures its effectiveness in meeting	The LWIB continuously reviews performance of both programs and LWIB initiatives, and has a strategy for encouraging and	
both legal requirements and its own local goals,	ensuring ongoing improvement. The LWIB	
has a process for continuous review and	transparently communicates the results of its efforts within the community	
improvement of performance, and shares		
information about results.		