

# Sacramento Works, Inc. Local Workforce Development Board Strategic Plan

Sacramento Works, Inc., the local Workforce Development Board for Sacramento County, is a 25-member board charged with providing policy, planning and oversight for local workforce development initiatives.

## **Vision:**

Building a dynamic workforce for the Sacramento Region.

## **Mission:**

Sacramento Works partners with the workforce community to serve regional employment needs.

## **Goals:**

### **Goal 1 (Planning/Oversight Committee):**

Prepare customers for viable employment opportunities and career pathways in the region by improving the one stop career center system.

### **Goal 2 (Employer Outreach Committee):**

Support regional employers' efforts to hire, train, and transition employees by enhancing and communicating the availability and value of Sacramento Works' employer and business services.

### **Goal 3 (Youth Committee):**

Prepare youth to thrive and succeed in the regional workforce by providing relevant work readiness and employment programs and engaging regional employers and academia.

ITEM II-A – CONSENT

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE MAY 22, 2018 MEETING

BACKGROUND:

Attached are the minutes of the May 22, 2018 meeting for review.

RECOMMENDATION:

That your Board review, modify if necessary, and approve the attached minutes.

## **REGULAR MEETING OF THE SACRAMENTO WORKS, INC. BOARD**

### Minutes/Synopsis

*(The minutes reflect the actual progression of the meeting.)*

SETA Board Room  
925 Del Paso Blvd., Suite 100  
Sacramento, California

Wednesday, May 23, 2018  
8:00 a.m.

#### **I. Call to Order/Roll Call**

Mr. Gary King called the meeting to order at 8:04 a.m. The roll was called and a quorum was established.

Members Present: Lisa Clawson, Lynn Conner, David De Mers, Troy Givans, David Gordon, Kim Gusman, Gary King, Kathy Kossick, Matt Legé, Frank Louie, Dennis Morin, Dr. Jamey Nye, Jay Onasch, Johnny Perez, Fabrizio Sasso, Rick Wylie

Members Absent: Larry Booth, Dennis Canevari, Ann Edwards, Diane Ferrari, Tom Kandris, Elizabeth McClatchy, Anette Smith-Dohring, Peter Tateishi

- Presentation - Sacramento Valley Manufacturing Initiative: Kevin McGrew and Christine Laster reported that business at Siemens is booming! Mr. King stated that it is important for our region to have diversity including manufacturing. Are there other specific requests or needs? Mr. McGrew stated that they are in the process of promoting themselves in the manufacturing industry. There is a contact through Valley Vision.org website that will go to Dean Peckham and ultimately there will be a separate website.
- Presentation – Valley Vision: Future of Work in the Capital Region: Evan Schmidt has been working with SVMl and working to streamline processes and the way employers coordinate; it is part of best practices deployed across centers. One objective is to frame four regional forums focused on the impact of technological changes in the economy and workforce. One research finding included that the higher the occupation is digitalized, the higher the salary. Their next step is to circulate an online survey to help prioritize actions that have been identified.

Dr. Jamey Nye, Mr. Larry Booth and Mr. King talked about critical industries and the need to be fluid about choosing and funding training opportunities.

#### **II. Consent Items**

- A. Approval of Minutes of the March 28, 2018 Meeting

- B. Approval to Submit Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Self-assessment Reports to the California Workforce Development Board for Certification of Non-comprehensive America's Job Centers
- C. Approval of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), Sacramento Works, Inc. Resource Allocation Plan for 2018-19 (Roy Kim)

Consent items were reviewed; no questions or corrections.

Moved/Sasso, second/Nye, to approve consent items A-C as follows:

- A. Approve the March 28, 2018 minutes.
- B. Approve the submission of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act, Self-Assessment reports to the California Workforce Development Board for certification of non-comprehensive America's Job Centers.
- C. Approve the Resource Allocation Plan for 2018-19.

Roll call vote:

Aye: 16 (Clawson, Conner, De Mers, Givans, Gordon, Gusman, King, Kossick, Legé, Louie, Morin, Nye, Onasch, Perez, Sasso, Wylie)

Nay: 0

Abstentions: 0

Absent: 8 (Booth, Canevari, Edwards, Ferrari, Kandris, McClatchy, Smith-Dohring, Tateishi)

### **III. Discussion/Action Items**

- B. Continued Discussion on the Role of the Sacramento Works Board

Dr. Nye stated that this board needs to identify priority sectors where we want to invest our resources.

Mr. Lege' stated that we have to prepare people to know how to use a computer; basic skills that reach across various industries is crucial.

Ms. Lisa Clawson stated that everyone needs to have digital literacy because people cannot get a job without some computer knowledge.

Christine Laster from Siemens stated that digital literacy is crucial and provided an example of how it affected employees at Siemens.

Mr. King stated that this discussion will continue in July. By continuing the discussion, it provides us an opportunity to think about it and work with Ms. Kossick and staff about what conclusions we want to draw.

- A. Approval of Funding Recommendations for the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), Title I, Youth Program, Program Year 2018-2019

Mr. Cunningham asked that anyone employed by, part of a board of directors or shareholder for, those organizations considered for funding, needs to declare the conflict and leave. No one left.

Ms. Terri Carpenter stated that the funding was originally procured in 2016; this is the second year of funding extensions.

Staff and the Youth Committee recommend Option A. This will be done by reducing the youth initiative funding and the remaining money will increase the WEX salaries that will increase in January due to the increased minimum wage.

**Speaker before the Board:**

- Ken McCulloch, City of Sacramento

Ms. Carpenter stated that Goodwill and CHD were the two new providers this year. The City of Sacramento has been a youth provider for about 10 years. Ms. Carpenter stated that we did a special procurement for the Galt area seeking providers to serve high poverty areas. The Youth Committee will continue to focus on those same high needs areas.

Moved/Gordon, second/Nye, to approve Option A – fund CHD for 19 slots by eliminating funding for Youth Committee Initiatives and decreasing the amount allocated for estimated WEX wage increases. In addition, approve the stipulation that all funding recommendations are contingent upon satisfactory year-end program performance reviews and the receipt of the final WIOA funding allocations. If the WIOA Title I Youth funding allocation for PY 2018-2019 is less than the current PY2017-2018 allocation, then subgrantee contract amounts will be reduced proportionately.

Roll call vote:

Aye: 16 (Clawson, Conner, De Mers, Givans, Gordon, Gusman, King, Kossick, Legé, Louie, Morin, Nye, Onasch, Perez, Sasso, Wylie)

Nay: 0

Abstentions: 0

Absent: 8 (Booth, Canevari, Edwards, Ferrari, Kandris, McClatchy, Smith-Dohring, Tateishi)

**IV. Information Items**

- A. Sacramento Employer Forum 2018: Skilled Workforce Ready to Work: Mr. William Walker introduced Matt Powers. Mr. Powers stated that his program trains people in the prisons for jobs. There is very little opportunity to prove that the men and women are well suited for jobs. Mr. Powers showed a video of California Prison Industries. [Calpia.ca.gov](http://Calpia.ca.gov) is the link.
- B. Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Performance Report for Program Year 2017-2018

Mr. Ralph Giddings distributed the performance report for PY 2017-2018. The actual performance data has still not been sent. The credential rate is the only actual data received.

- C. Dislocated Worker Report: No questions.
- D. Employer Recruitment Activity Report: No questions.
- E. Unemployment Update/Press Release from the Employment Development Department: No questions.
- F. Committee Updates
  - ✓ Youth Committee: The Youth Committee will be continuing discussion of the strategic plan.
  - ✓ Planning/Oversight Committee: No additional report.
  - ✓ Employer Outreach Committee: Mr. Wylie reported that the next meeting is June 13 when members will be discussing a regional event and talking to our other partners.
  - ✓ Board Development Committee: Ms. Lisa Clawson stated that members of the committee are actively engaged in member recruitment. Two good private sector applications are expected in the near future. Ms. Clawson urged anyone with contacts in Manufacturing and IT to give her the contact information.

**V. Other Reports**

- 2. Members of the Board: Ms. Kossick stated that we are late on our ethics training. The Clerk will be sending the link for the training for members to complete.
- 3. Counsel: No report.
- 4. Public Participation: None.
- 1. Chair: Mr. King reported that Ms. McClatchy has resigned; her last meeting was Monday. Ms. Kossick would like to close out the meeting with comments acknowledging Ms. McClatchy's 24 years on the board. At the Executive Committee, it was announced that she joined us 24 years ago. She went through decades of changes in the job training system. She was an active member on committees. Her attendance was outstanding and she will be very missed. Mr. King thanked Ms. McClatchy for her service over the years.

**VI. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 9:52 a.m.**

ITEM III-A - ACTION

APPROVAL OF FY 2018- 2019 EMPLOYER OUTREACH BUDGET

BACKGROUND:

On June 7, 2018 the SETA Governing Board approved the Resource Allocation Plan for FY 2018-2019 which included \$103,602 for Board Initiatives. Funds approved for Sacramento Works, Inc. Board Initiatives include employer outreach. Based on the current year expenditures to date, and the carryover of \$51,736 (\$50,265+\$1,471) in Board Initiative funds, the amount being recommended for employer outreach activities is \$100,000, leaving a balance of \$55,338 for other Board Initiatives to be determined in the next few months.

The FY 2018-2019 Employer Outreach Budget showing proposed expenditures by activity is included below.

<b>Employer Outreach FY 2018-2019 Proposed Activity</b>	<b>2018-2019 Budget</b>
Job Fair/Business Events	\$ 2,000
Employer Outreach	62,000
Marketing-Graphic Design-Advertising Services	36,000
<b>TOTAL BUDGET</b>	<b>\$ 100,000</b>

Upon approval of the proposed budget, the Employer Outreach Committee will approve the specifics of the proposed employer outreach activities for FY 2018-2019.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the allocation of \$100,000 of Board Initiative funds to the Employer Outreach Budget for FY 2018-2019.

## ITEM III-B – ACTION/DISCUSSION

### DISCUSSION ON THE ROLE OF THE SACRAMENTO WORKS BOARD

#### BACKGROUND

At the March 28, 2018 and the May 23, 2018, Sacramento Works Board Meetings, each Committee was asked to return with ideas and priorities for the role of the Board. This item provides an opportunity to hear from the Planning/Oversight Committee, the Employer Outreach Committee, and the Youth Committee on ideas and strategies identified to date, and specific actions as the Board moves forward with developing a vision and implementing strategies as a leader and broker of workforce issues. This item continues this discussion with the entire Board.

PRESENTER: Roy Kim



ITEM IV-A – INFORMATION  
DISLOCATED WORKER REPORT

BACKGROUND:

The most current dislocated worker update is attached; staff will be available to answer questions.

PRESENTER: William Walker





ITEM IV-B – INFORMATION

EMPLOYER RECRUITMENT ACTIVITY REPORT

BACKGROUND:

Staff at Sacramento Works Job Centers and internal Employer Services staff work with local employers to recruit qualified employees. The most current update is attached.

Mr. William Walker will be available to answer questions.

PRESENTER: William Walker























ITEM IV-C – INFORMATION

UNEMPLOYMENT UPDATE/PRESS RELEASE FROM THE EMPLOYMENT  
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

BACKGROUND:

The unemployment rate for Sacramento County for the month of May was 3.3%.


Attached is a copy of a press release from the Employment Development Department breaking down the job losses and job creations for the regional area.

Staff will be available to answer questions.

PRESENTER: Roy Kim

IMMEDIATE RELEASE  
 SACRAMENTO--ROSEVILLE--ARDEN-ARCADE METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA (MSA)  
 (El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, and Yolo Counties)

The unemployment rate in the Sacramento--Roseville--Arden-Arcade MSA was 3.3 percent in May 2018, down from a revised 3.4 percent in April 2018, and below the year-ago estimate of 4.2 percent. This compares with an unadjusted unemployment rate of 3.7 percent for California and 3.6 percent for the nation during the same period. The unemployment rate was 3.2 percent in El Dorado County, 2.8 percent in Placer County, 3.4 percent in Sacramento County, and 3.6 percent in Yolo County.



Industry	Apr-2018	May-2018	Change		May-2017	May-2018	Change
	Revised	Prelim				Prelim	

Total, All Industries	990,500	993,800	3,300		976,800	993,800	17,000
Total Farm	9,300	10,100	800		10,200	10,100	(100)
Total Nonfarm	981,200	983,700	2,500		966,600	983,700	17,100
Mining, Logging, and Construction	59,400	60,500	1,100		58,900	60,500	1,600
Mining and Logging	500	500	0		400	500	100
Construction	58,900	60,000	1,100		58,500	60,000	1,500
Manufacturing	35,800	36,300	500		35,500	36,300	800
Trade, Transportation & Utilities	155,800	155,300	(500)		152,400	155,300	2,900
Information	12,100	12,100	0		12,500	12,100	(400)
Financial Activities	52,900	53,100	200		51,800	53,100	1,300
Professional & Business Services	128,500	129,400	900		130,200	129,400	(800)
Educational & Health Services	157,600	158,100	500		151,700	158,100	6,400
Leisure & Hospitality	104,600	103,000	(1,600)		103,200	103,000	(200)
Other Services	32,000	32,400	400		32,500	32,400	(100)
Government	242,500	243,500	1,000		237,900	243,500	5,600

Notes: Data not adjusted for seasonality. Data may not add due to rounding  
 Labor force data are revised month to month  
 Additional data are available on line at [www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov](http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov)



**SACRAMENTO—ROSEVILLE—ARDEN-ARCADE METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA (MSA)  
(EL DORADO, PLACER, SACRAMENTO, AND YOLO COUNTIES)**  
**Education and health services continued strong year-over growth, up 6,400 jobs**

The unemployment rate in the Sacramento--Roseville--Arden-Arcade MSA was 3.3 percent in May 2018, down from a revised 3.4 percent in April 2018, and below the year-ago estimate of 4.2 percent. This compares with an unadjusted unemployment rate of 3.7 percent for California and 3.6 percent for the nation during the same period. The unemployment rate was 3.2 percent in El Dorado County, 2.8 percent in Placer County, 3.4 percent in Sacramento County, and 3.6 percent in Yolo County.

**Between April 2018 and May 2018**, combined employment in the counties of El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, and Yolo increased by 3,300 to total 993,800 jobs.

- Construction (up 1,100 jobs) led the region with a normal seasonal job gain from April to May. Specialty trade contractors gained 800 jobs. Construction of buildings added 200 jobs.
- Government employment expanded by 1,000 jobs over the month. State government (up 600 jobs) and local government (up 500 jobs) were responsible for the job additions.
- Professional and business services experienced a gain of 900 jobs.
- Only two industry sectors posted month-over declines. Leisure and hospitality shed 1,600 jobs. Trade, transportation, and utilities decreased by 500 jobs.

**Between May 2017 and May 2018**, total jobs in the region increased by 17,000, or 1.7 percent.

- Education and health services led year-over growth for the fourth consecutive month with an increase of 6,400 jobs. Health care and social assistance (up 5,900 jobs) accounted for 92.2 percent of the gains.
- Government advanced by 5,600 jobs. State government gained 2,900 jobs. Local government picked up 2,700 jobs.
- Trade, transportation, and utilities expanded by 2,900 jobs since last May. Retail trade added 1,100 jobs. Wholesale trade gained 1,000 jobs. Transportation, warehousing, and utilities expanded by 800 jobs.
- Five major industries experienced job reductions from last May, led by professional and business services (down 800 jobs), information (down 400 jobs), leisure and hospitality (down 200 jobs), other services (down 100 jobs), and farm (down 100 jobs).

June 15, 2018

Employment Development Department  
 Labor Market Information Division  
 (916) 262-2162

**Sacramento--Roseville--Arden-Arcade MSA**  
**(El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, and Yolo Counties)**  
 Industry Employment & Labor Force  
 March 2017 Benchmark

Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

	May 17	Mar 18	Apr 18 Revised	May 18 Prelim	Percent Change	
					Month	Year
Civilian Labor Force (1)	1,072,800	1,081,500	1,070,900	1,070,300	-0.1%	-0.2%
Civilian Employment	1,028,100	1,039,800	1,034,100	1,035,200	0.1%	0.7%
Civilian Unemployment	44,800	41,700	36,700	35,100	-4.4%	-21.7%
Civilian Unemployment Rate (CA Unemployment Rate)	4.2%	3.9%	3.4%	3.3%		
(U.S. Unemployment Rate)	4.4%	4.2%	3.8%	3.7%		
	4.1%	4.1%	3.7%	3.6%		
<b>Total, All Industries (2)</b>	<b>976,800</b>	<b>986,100</b>	<b>990,500</b>	<b>993,800</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>
Total Farm	10,200	8,700	9,300	10,100	8.6%	-1.0%
Total Nonfarm	966,600	977,400	981,200	983,700	0.3%	1.8%
Total Private	728,700	735,100	738,700	740,200	0.2%	1.6%
Goods Producing	94,400	94,400	95,200	96,800	1.7%	2.5%
Mining, Logging, and Construction	58,900	59,200	59,400	60,500	1.9%	2.7%
Mining and Logging	400	500	500	500	0.0%	25.0%
Construction	58,500	58,700	58,900	60,000	1.9%	2.6%
Construction of Buildings	11,900	12,800	13,100	13,300	1.5%	11.8%
Specialty Trade Contractors	40,800	39,800	40,300	41,100	2.0%	0.7%
Building Foundation & Exterior Contractors	11,500	11,500	11,800	12,100	2.5%	5.2%
Building Equipment Contractors	16,000	15,700	15,800	16,000	1.3%	0.0%
Building Finishing Contractors	9,000	8,800	9,000	9,200	2.2%	2.2%
Manufacturing	35,500	35,200	35,800	36,300	1.4%	2.3%
Durable Goods	23,100	23,200	23,600	23,700	0.4%	2.6%
Computer & Electronic Product Manufacturing	4,800	4,900	5,000	5,000	0.0%	4.2%
Nondurable Goods	12,400	12,000	12,200	12,600	3.3%	1.6%
Food Manufacturing	4,400	3,800	3,900	4,200	7.7%	-4.5%
Service Providing	872,200	883,000	886,000	886,900	0.1%	1.7%
Private Service Providing	634,300	640,700	643,500	643,400	0.0%	1.4%
Trade, Transportation & Utilities	152,400	155,000	155,800	155,300	-0.3%	1.9%
Wholesale Trade	26,400	27,500	27,200	27,400	0.7%	3.8%
Merchant Wholesalers, Durable Goods	14,400	14,600	14,500	14,600	0.7%	1.4%
Merchant Wholesalers, Nondurable Goods	9,600	9,900	9,800	9,800	0.0%	2.1%
Retail Trade	100,400	101,100	102,200	101,500	-0.7%	1.1%
Motor Vehicle & Parts Dealer	14,600	14,500	14,600	14,500	-0.7%	-0.7%
Building Material & Garden Equipment Stores	8,800	8,400	8,700	8,600	-1.1%	-2.3%
Grocery Stores	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,100	0.5%	0.5%
Health & Personal Care Stores	5,800	5,900	5,900	5,900	0.0%	1.7%
Clothing & Clothing Accessories Stores	8,100	8,000	8,000	8,000	0.0%	-1.2%
Sporting Goods, Hobby, Book & Music Stores	4,000	4,000	3,900	4,000	2.6%	0.0%
General Merchandise Stores	19,000	20,000	20,100	20,300	1.0%	6.8%
Transportation, Warehousing & Utilities	25,600	26,400	26,400	26,400	0.0%	3.1%
Information	12,500	12,100	12,100	12,100	0.0%	-3.2%
Publishing Industries (except Internet)	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	0.0%	0.0%
Telecommunications	4,000	3,900	3,900	3,900	0.0%	-2.5%
Financial Activities	51,800	52,700	52,900	53,100	0.4%	2.5%
Finance & Insurance	37,000	37,300	37,400	37,500	0.3%	1.4%
Credit Intermediation & Related Activities	11,800	11,600	11,600	11,600	0.0%	-1.7%
Depository Credit Intermediation	6,600	6,400	6,500	6,500	0.0%	-1.5%
Nondepository Credit Intermediation	2,700	2,800	2,800	2,800	0.0%	3.7%
Insurance Carriers & Related	21,500	21,700	21,800	21,900	0.5%	1.9%
Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	14,800	15,400	15,500	15,600	0.6%	5.4%
Real Estate	11,500	11,900	12,100	12,100	0.0%	5.2%
Professional & Business Services	130,200	128,400	128,500	129,400	0.7%	-0.6%
Professional, Scientific & Technical Services	54,900	56,500	56,200	55,700	-0.9%	1.5%
Architectural, Engineering & Related Services	9,800	10,100	10,100	10,200	1.0%	4.1%
Management of Companies & Enterprises	12,500	12,800	12,900	13,000	0.8%	4.0%
Administrative & Support & Waste Services	62,800	59,100	59,400	60,700	2.2%	-3.3%
Administrative & Support Services	60,000	55,600	56,100	57,500	2.5%	-4.2%
Employment Services	24,500	23,800	23,800	24,000	0.8%	-2.0%

Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

	May 17	Mar 18	Apr 18 Revised	May 18 Prelim	Percent Change	
					Month	Year
Services to Buildings & Dwellings	13,200	12,700	13,100	13,300	1.5%	0.8%
Educational & Health Services	151,700	157,000	157,600	158,100	0.3%	4.2%
Education Services	12,700	13,000	13,000	13,200	1.5%	3.9%
Health Care & Social Assistance	139,000	144,000	144,600	144,900	0.2%	4.2%
Ambulatory Health Care Services	49,100	49,500	50,400	50,200	-0.4%	2.2%
Hospitals	23,400	24,400	24,200	24,300	0.4%	3.8%
Nursing & Residential Care Facilities	17,200	17,500	17,500	17,500	0.0%	1.7%
Leisure & Hospitality	103,200	104,300	104,600	103,000	-1.5%	-0.2%
Arts, Entertainment & Recreation	15,800	16,500	16,300	15,300	-6.1%	-3.2%
Accommodation & Food Services	87,400	87,800	88,300	87,700	-0.7%	0.3%
Accommodation	8,600	9,800	9,900	9,300	-6.1%	8.1%
Food Services & Drinking Places	78,800	78,000	78,400	78,400	0.0%	-0.5%
Restaurants	74,400	74,100	74,500	74,100	-0.5%	-0.4%
Full-Service Restaurants	34,700	34,700	35,500	35,500	0.0%	2.3%
Limited-Service Eating Places	39,700	39,400	39,000	38,600	-1.0%	-2.8%
Other Services	32,500	31,200	32,000	32,400	1.3%	-0.3%
Repair & Maintenance	9,800	9,800	10,000	10,100	1.0%	3.1%
Government	237,900	242,300	242,500	243,500	0.4%	2.4%
Federal Government	14,000	14,000	14,100	14,000	-0.7%	0.0%
Department of Defense	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	0.0%	0.0%
State & Local Government	223,900	228,300	228,400	229,500	0.5%	2.5%
State Government	119,100	121,300	121,400	122,000	0.5%	2.4%
State Government Education	31,300	32,300	32,300	32,700	1.2%	4.5%
State Government Excluding Education	87,800	89,000	89,100	89,300	0.2%	1.7%
Local Government	104,800	107,000	107,000	107,500	0.5%	2.6%
Local Government Education	58,000	60,400	60,400	60,400	0.0%	4.1%
Local Government Excluding Education	46,800	46,600	46,600	47,100	1.1%	0.6%
County	19,100	19,100	19,100	19,100	0.0%	0.0%
City	10,200	10,000	9,900	10,300	4.0%	1.0%
Special Districts plus Indian Tribes	17,500	17,500	17,600	17,700	0.6%	1.1%

**Notes:**

(1) Civilian labor force data are by place of residence; include self-employed individuals, unpaid family workers, household domestic workers, & workers on strike. Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.

(2) Industry employment is by place of work; excludes self-employed individuals, unpaid family workers, household domestic workers, & workers on strike. Data may not add due to rounding.

These data are produced by the Labor Market Information Division of the California Employment Development Department (EDD). Questions should be directed to: Cara Welch 916-865-2466 or Elizabeth Bosley 530-741-5191




These data, as well as other labor market data, are available via the Internet at <http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov>. If you need assistance, please call (916) 262-2162.

#####

# Recent Job Ads

## Sacramento Roseville Arden Arcade MSA - May 2018

*Not Seasonally Adjusted*



Note: The data provided does not suggest that the occupations of the unemployed directly align with the occupations of the advertised vacancies.  
 Sources: Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division; Help Wanted Online from The Conference Board and WANTED Technologies

**Monthly Labor Force Data for Cities and Census Designated Places (CDP)  
 May 2018 - Preliminary  
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted**

<b>Area Name</b>	<b>Labor Force</b>	<b>Employment</b>	<b>Unemployment Number</b>	<b>Unemployment Rate</b>	<b>Census Ratios Emp</b>	<b>Census Ratios Unemp</b>
Sacramento County	694,300	670,900	23,400	3.4%	1.000000	1.000000
Arden Arcade CDP	44,600	42,500	2,100	4.7%	0.063399	0.089632
Carmichael CDP	30,300	29,200	1,100	3.6%	0.043480	0.046822
Citrus Heights city	43,200	41,700	1,500	3.4%	N/A	N/A
Elk Grove CDP	81,000	78,600	2,300	2.9%	N/A	N/A
Fair Oaks CDP	15,600	15,100	500	3.4%	0.022493	0.022749
Florin CDP	19,900	18,800	1,100	5.5%	0.028053	0.046876
Folsom city	36,500	35,600	900	2.4%	N/A	N/A
Foothill Farms CDP	15,400	14,900	500	3.3%	0.022212	0.021682
Galt city	11,100	10,700	500	4.2%	N/A	N/A
Gold River CDP	3,400	3,400	100	2.6%	0.005009	0.003769
Isleton city	300	300	0	4.3%	0.000493	0.000629
La Riviera CDP	5,600	5,400	100	2.6%	0.008086	0.006052
North Highlands CDP	18,600	18,000	600	3.3%	0.026837	0.026194
Orangevale CDP	17,100	16,500	500	3.2%	0.024666	0.023316
Rancho Cordova City	34,000	32,800	1,200	3.4%	N/A	N/A
Rancho Murieta CDP	2,400	2,300	0	0.8%	0.003478	0.000770
Rio Linda CDP	6,100	6,000	200	2.6%	0.008905	0.006863
Rosemont CDP	11,900	11,500	400	3.5%	0.017071	0.017643
Sacramento city	229,100	221,200	8,000	3.5%	N/A	N/A
Vineyard CDP	12,600	12,200	300	2.7%	0.018253	0.014806
Walnut Grove CDP	700	600	100	11.3%	0.000865	0.003161
Wilton CDP	2,300	2,300	0	0.9%	0.003409	0.000919

CDP is "Census Designated Place" - a recognized community that was unincorporated at the time of the 2011-2015 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS).

**Notes:**

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. All unemployment rates shown are calculated on unrounded data.
- 2) These data are not seasonally adjusted.
- 3) N/A = Estimate created by Bureau of Labor Statistics

**Methodology:**

Monthly city labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each city at the time of the 2009-2013 American Community Survey. Ratios for cities were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment, unemployment, and population

### Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

<b>Area Name</b>	<b>Labor Force</b>	<b>Employment</b>	<b>Unemployment Number</b>	<b>Unemployment Rate</b>	<b>Census Ratios</b>	
					<b>Emp</b>	<b>Unemp</b>

and Census population from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. For smaller cities and CDPs, ratios were calculated from published census data.

Monthly CDP's labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each CDP at the time of the 2011-2015 ACS survey. Ratios for CDPs' were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment and unemployment from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

This method assumes that the rates of change in employment and unemployment since the 2009-2013/2011-2015 American Community Survey are exactly the same in each city and CDP as at county level (i.e., that the shares are still accurate). If this assumption is not true for a specific city or CDP, then the estimates for that area may not represent the current economic conditions. Since this assumption is untested, caution should be employed when using these data.

**REPORT 400 C**  
**Monthly Labor Force Data for Counties**  
**May 2018 - Preliminary**  
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

COUNTY	RANK BY RATE	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYMENT	UNEMPLOYMENT	RATE
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	---	<b>19,266,000</b>	<b>18,554,500</b>	<b>711,500</b>	<b>3.7%</b>
ALAMEDA	8	842,300	820,400	21,900	2.6%
ALPINE	40	450	430	20	5.1%
AMADOR	22	14,660	14,140	520	3.5%
BUTTE	31	103,200	98,800	4,400	4.3%
CALAVERAS	22	21,310	20,560	760	3.5%
COLUSA	57	10,650	9,410	1,240	11.6%
CONTRA COSTA	10	559,900	544,500	15,400	2.8%
DEL NORTE	36	9,770	9,300	470	4.8%
EL DORADO	16	89,200	86,400	2,800	3.2%
FRESNO	51	453,900	422,800	31,100	6.9%
GLENN	46	12,750	11,980	770	6.0%
HUMBOLDT	13	63,130	61,210	1,920	3.0%
IMPERIAL	58	71,200	60,000	11,200	15.8%
INYO	19	8,670	8,370	300	3.4%
KERN	54	381,500	352,000	29,500	7.7%
KINGS	51	58,000	54,000	4,000	6.9%
LAKE	34	29,440	28,120	1,320	4.5%
LASSEN	29	9,910	9,510	410	4.1%
LOS ANGELES	28	5,130,400	4,923,600	206,800	4.0%
MADERA	49	61,800	57,800	4,000	6.5%
MARIN	2	139,900	137,000	2,900	2.0%
MARIPOSA	35	7,650	7,300	350	4.6%
MENDOCINO	16	39,760	38,490	1,270	3.2%
MERCED	55	116,400	107,300	9,100	7.8%
MODOC	47	3,280	3,080	200	6.1%
MONO	22	8,390	8,090	300	3.5%
MONTEREY	42	225,500	213,500	12,000	5.3%
NAPA	6	73,600	71,800	1,900	2.5%
NEVADA	16	47,480	45,960	1,510	3.2%
ORANGE	8	1,599,800	1,558,700	41,100	2.6%
PLACER	10	180,500	175,500	5,000	2.8%
PLUMAS	50	7,760	7,240	520	6.7%
RIVERSIDE	27	1,070,300	1,030,100	40,200	3.8%
SACRAMENTO	19	694,300	670,900	23,400	3.4%
SAN BENITO	36	30,100	28,700	1,400	4.8%
SAN BERNARDINO	25	948,200	914,500	33,700	3.6%
SAN DIEGO	12	1,578,200	1,533,100	45,200	2.9%
SAN FRANCISCO	3	564,600	552,900	11,800	2.1%
SAN JOAQUIN	42	327,700	310,300	17,400	5.3%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	6	143,400	139,800	3,600	2.5%
SAN MATEO	1	449,400	440,800	8,600	1.9%
SANTA BARBARA	14	217,700	210,800	6,800	3.1%
SANTA CLARA	4	1,042,700	1,019,100	23,600	2.3%
SANTA CRUZ	31	145,800	139,500	6,200	4.3%
SHASTA	33	73,600	70,400	3,200	4.4%
SIERRA	36	1,300	1,240	60	4.8%
SISKIYOU	44	17,870	16,890	980	5.5%
SOLANO	19	206,900	199,700	7,100	3.4%
SONOMA	5	262,400	256,200	6,200	2.4%
STANISLAUS	47	242,400	227,600	14,700	6.1%
SUTTER	51	45,600	42,500	3,200	6.9%
TEHAMA	40	25,570	24,260	1,310	5.1%
TRINITY	39	5,080	4,830	250	4.9%
TULARE	56	210,800	193,100	17,800	8.4%
TUOLUMNE	29	21,270	20,400	870	4.1%
VENTURA	14	423,900	410,600	13,300	3.1%
YOLO	25	106,300	102,500	3,800	3.6%
YUBA	45	28,500	26,900	1,600	5.7%

**Notes**

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.
- 2) Labor force data for all geographic areas now reflect the March 2017 benchmark and Census 2010 population controls at the state level.

**REPORT 400 M**  
**Monthly Labor Force Data for California**  
**Counties and Metropolitan Areas**  
**May 2018 - Preliminary**  
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

Area	RANK BY RATE	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYMENT	UNEMPLOYMENT	RATE
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>19,266,000</b>	<b>18,554,500</b>	<b>711,500</b>	<b>3.7%</b>
ANAHEIM-SANTA ANA-IRVINE MD (Orange Co.)	10	1,599,800	1,558,700	41,100	2.6%
BAKERSFIELD MSA (Kern Co.)	60	381,500	352,000	29,500	7.7%
CHICO MSA (Butte Co.)	36	103,200	98,800	4,400	4.3%
EL CENTRO MSA (Imperial Co.)	64	71,200	60,000	11,200	15.8%
FRESNO MSA (Fresno Co.)	57	453,900	422,800	31,100	6.9%
HANFORD CORCORAN MSA (Kings Co.)	57	58,000	54,000	4,000	6.9%
LOS ANGELES LONG BEACH GLENDALE MD (Los Angeles Co.)	33	5,130,400	4,923,600	206,800	4.0%
MADERA MSA (Madera Co.)	54	61,800	57,800	4,000	6.5%
MERCED MSA (Merced Co.)	61	116,400	107,300	9,100	7.8%
MODESTO MSA (Stanislaus Co.)	52	242,400	227,600	14,700	6.1%
NAPA MSA (Napa Co.)	8	73,600	71,800	1,900	2.5%
OAKLAND HAYWARD BERKELEY MD	12	1,402,200	1,364,900	37,400	2.7%
Alameda Co.	10	842,300	820,400	21,900	2.6%
Contra Costa Co.	13	559,900	544,500	15,400	2.8%
OXNARD THOUSAND OAKS VENTURA MSA (Ventura Co.)	17	423,900	410,600	13,300	3.1%
REDDING MSA (Shasta Co.)	38	73,600	70,400	3,200	4.4%
RIVERSIDE SAN BERNARDINO ONTARIO MSA	31	2,018,500	1,944,700	73,900	3.7%
Riverside Co.	32	1,070,300	1,030,100	40,200	3.8%
San Bernardino Co.	29	948,200	914,500	33,700	3.6%
SACRAMENTO--ROSEVILLE--ARDEN-ARCADE MSA	22	1,070,300	1,035,200	35,100	3.3%
El Dorado Co.	19	89,200	86,400	2,800	3.2%
Placer Co.	13	180,500	175,500	5,000	2.8%
Sacramento Co.	23	694,300	670,900	23,400	3.4%
Yolo Co.	29	106,300	102,500	3,800	3.6%
Yuba Co.	47	225,500	213,500	12,000	5.3%
SALINAS MSA (Monterey Co.)	47	225,500	213,500	12,000	5.3%
SAN DIEGO CARLSBAD MSA (San Diego Co.)	15	1,578,200	1,533,100	45,200	2.9%
SAN FRANCISCO REDWOOD CITY SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO MD	2	1,014,000	993,600	20,400	2.0%
San Francisco Co.	4	564,600	552,900	11,800	2.1%
San Mateo Co.	1	449,400	440,800	8,600	1.9%
SAN JOSE SUNNYVALE SANTA CLARA MSA	5	1,072,800	1,047,800	25,000	2.3%
San Benito Co.	41	30,100	28,700	1,400	4.8%
Santa Clara Co.	5	1,042,700	1,019,100	23,600	2.3%
SAN LUIS OBISPO PASO ROBLES ARROYO GRANDE MSA (San Luis Obispo Co.)	8	143,400	139,800	3,600	2.5%
SAN RAFAEL MD (Marin Co.)	2	139,900	137,000	2,900	2.0%
SANTA CRUZ WATSONVILLE MSA (Santa Cruz Co.)	36	145,800	139,500	6,200	4.3%
SANTA MARIA SANTA BARBARA MSA (Santa Barbara Co.)	17	217,700	210,800	6,800	3.1%
SANTA ROSA MSA (Sonoma Co.)	7	262,400	256,200	6,200	2.4%
STOCKTON LODI MSA (San Joaquin Co.)	47	327,700	310,300	17,400	5.3%
VALLEJO FAIRFIELD MSA (Solano Co.)	23	206,900	199,700	7,100	3.4%
VISALIA PORTERVILLE MSA (Tulare Co.)	62	210,800	193,100	17,800	8.4%
YUBA CITY MSA	54	74,100	69,300	4,800	6.5%
Sutter Co.	57	45,600	42,500	3,200	6.9%
Yuba Co.	50	28,500	26,900	1,600	5.7%
Alpine Co.	45	450	430	20	5.1%
Amador Co.	26	14,660	14,140	520	3.5%
Calaveras Co.	26	21,310	20,560	760	3.5%
Colusa Co.	63	10,650	9,410	1,240	11.6%
Del Norte Co.	41	9,770	9,300	470	4.8%
Glenn Co.	51	12,750	11,980	770	6.0%
Humboldt Co.	16	63,130	61,210	1,920	3.0%
Inyo Co.	23	8,670	8,370	300	3.4%
Lake Co.	39	29,440	28,120	1,320	4.5%
Lassen Co.	34	9,910	9,510	410	4.1%
Mariposa Co.	40	7,650	7,300	350	4.6%
Mendocino Co.	19	39,760	38,490	1,270	3.2%
Modoc Co.	52	3,280	3,080	200	6.1%
Mono Co.	26	8,390	8,090	300	3.5%
Nevada Co.	19	47,480	45,960	1,510	3.2%
Plumas Co.	56	7,760	7,240	520	6.7%
Sierra Co.	41	1,300	1,240	60	4.8%
Siskiyou Co.	49	17,870	16,890	980	5.5%
Tehama Co.	45	25,570	24,260	1,310	5.1%
Trinity Co.	44	5,080	4,830	250	4.9%
Tuolumne Co.	34	21,270	20,400	870	4.1%

**Notes**

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.
- 2) Labor force data for all geographic areas now reflect the March 2017 benchmark and Census 2010 population controls at the state level.



**REPORT 400 R**  
**Monthly Labor Force Data for Regional Planning Units**  
**May 2018 - Preliminary**  
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

REGION	RANK BY RATE	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYMENT	UNEMPLOYMENT	RATE
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	---	<b>19,266,000</b>	<b>18,554,500</b>	<b>711,500</b>	<b>3.7%</b>
<b>COASTAL REGION</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>732,300</b>	<b>703,600</b>	<b>28,700</b>	<b>3.9%</b>
MONTEREY	---	225,500	213,500	12,000	5.3%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	---	143,400	139,800	3,600	2.5%
SANTA BARBARA	---	217,700	210,800	6,800	3.1%
SANTA CRUZ	---	145,800	139,500	6,200	4.3%
<b>MIDDLE SIERRA</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>64,900</b>	<b>62,400</b>	<b>2,500</b>	<b>3.8%</b>
AMADOR	---	14,660	14,140	520	3.5%
CALAVERAS	---	21,310	20,560	760	3.5%
MARIPOSA	---	7,650	7,300	350	4.6%
TUOLUMNE	---	21,270	20,400	870	4.1%
<b>HUMBOLDT</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>63,100</b>	<b>61,200</b>	<b>1,900</b>	<b>3.0%</b>
HUMBOLDT	---	63,130	61,210	1,920	3.0%
<b>NORTH STATE</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>304,900</b>	<b>291,500</b>	<b>13,400</b>	<b>4.4%</b>
BUTTE	---	103,200	98,800	4,400	4.3%
DEL NORTE	---	9,770	9,300	470	4.8%
LASSEN	---	9,910	9,510	410	4.1%
MODOC	---	3,280	3,080	200	6.1%
NEVADA	---	47,480	45,960	1,510	3.2%
PLUMAS	---	7,760	7,240	520	6.7%
SHASTA	---	73,600	70,400	3,200	4.4%
SIERRA	---	1,300	1,240	60	4.8%
SISKIYOU	---	17,870	16,890	980	5.5%
TEHAMA	---	25,570	24,260	1,310	5.1%
TRINITY	---	5,080	4,830	250	4.9%
<b>CAPITOL REGION</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1,168,200</b>	<b>1,126,300</b>	<b>41,900</b>	<b>3.6%</b>
ALPINE	---	450	430	20	5.1%
COLUSA	---	10,650	9,410	1,240	11.6%
EL DORADO	---	89,200	86,400	2,800	3.2%
GLENN	---	12,750	11,980	770	6.0%
PLACER	---	180,500	175,500	5,000	2.8%
SACRAMENTO	---	694,300	670,900	23,400	3.4%
SUTTER	---	45,600	42,500	3,200	6.9%
YOLO	---	106,300	102,500	3,800	3.6%
YUBA	---	28,500	26,900	1,600	5.7%
<b>EAST BAY</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1,402,200</b>	<b>1,364,900</b>	<b>37,400</b>	<b>2.7%</b>
ALAMEDA	---	842,300	820,400	21,900	2.6%
CONTRA COSTA	---	559,900	544,500	15,400	2.8%
<b>NORTH BAY</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>752,000</b>	<b>731,300</b>	<b>20,700</b>	<b>2.7%</b>
LAKE	---	29,440	28,120	1,320	4.5%
MARIN	---	139,900	137,000	2,900	2.0%
MENDOCINO	---	39,760	38,490	1,270	3.2%
NAPA	---	73,600	71,800	1,900	2.5%
SOLANO	---	206,900	199,700	7,100	3.4%
SONOMA	---	262,400	256,200	6,200	2.4%
<b>BAY-PENINSULA</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2,086,800</b>	<b>2,041,400</b>	<b>45,400</b>	<b>2.2%</b>
SAN BENITO	---	30,100	28,700	1,400	4.8%
SAN FRANCISCO	---	564,600	552,900	11,800	2.1%
SAN MATEO	---	449,400	440,800	8,600	1.9%
SANTA CLARA	---	1,042,700	1,019,100	23,600	2.3%
<b>SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AND ASSOCIATED COUNTIES</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1,869,500</b>	<b>1,741,200</b>	<b>128,300</b>	<b>6.9%</b>
FRESNO	---	453,900	422,800	31,100	6.9%
INYO	---	8,670	8,370	300	3.4%
KERN	---	381,500	352,000	29,500	7.7%
KINGS	---	58,000	54,000	4,000	6.9%
MADERA	---	61,800	57,800	4,000	6.5%
MERCED	---	116,400	107,300	9,100	7.8%
MONO	---	8,390	8,090	300	3.5%
SAN JOAQUIN	---	327,700	310,300	17,400	5.3%
STANISLAUS	---	242,400	227,600	14,700	6.1%
TULARE	---	210,800	193,100	17,800	8.4%
<b>SOUTHERN BORDER</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1,649,500</b>	<b>1,593,100</b>	<b>56,400</b>	<b>3.4%</b>
IMPERIAL	---	71,200	60,000	11,200	15.8%
SAN DIEGO	---	1,578,200	1,533,100	45,200	2.9%
<b>LOS ANGELES BASIN</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5,130,400</b>	<b>4,923,600</b>	<b>206,800</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
LOS ANGELES	---	5,130,400	4,923,600	206,800	4.0%
<b>ORANGE</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1,599,800</b>	<b>1,558,700</b>	<b>41,100</b>	<b>2.6%</b>
ORANGE	---	1,599,800	1,558,700	41,100	2.6%
<b>INLAND EMPIRE</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2,018,500</b>	<b>1,944,700</b>	<b>73,900</b>	<b>3.7%</b>
RIVERSIDE	---	1,070,300	1,030,100	40,200	3.8%
SAN BERNARDINO	---	948,200	914,500	33,700	3.6%
<b>VENTURA</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>423,900</b>	<b>410,600</b>	<b>13,300</b>	<b>3.1%</b>
VENTURA	---	423,900	410,600	13,300	3.1%

Notes

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.
- 2) Labor force data for all geographic areas now reflect the March 2017 benchmark and Census 2010 population controls at the state level.

**REPORT 400 W**  
**Monthly Labor Force Data for Local Workforce Development Areas**  
**May 2018 - Preliminary**  
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

REGION	RANK BY RATE	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYMENT	UNEMPLOYMENT	RATE
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	---	19,266,000	18,554,500	711,500	3.7%
<b>ALAMEDA COUNTY</b> Alameda County, except Oakland City	5	630,100	614,500	15,600	2.5%
<b>OAKLAND CITY</b> Oakland City	14	212,200	205,900	6,300	3.0%
<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY</b> Contra Costa County, except Richmond City	11	506,600	492,800	13,800	2.7%
<b>RICHMOND CITY</b> Richmond City	16	53,300	51,600	1,700	3.1%
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY</b> Los Angeles County, except Los Angeles City, Verdugo Consortium, Foothill Consortium, South Bay Consortium, Southeast Los Angeles County Consortium, and Pacific Gateway Workforce Investment Network	31	1,878,800	1,802,100	76,700	4.1%
<b>LOS ANGELES CITY</b> Los Angeles City	32	2,071,800	1,986,900	84,900	4.1%
<b>VERDUGO CONSORTIUM</b> Burbank, Glendale, and La Cañada Flintridge Cities	30	173,300	166,300	7,000	4.1%
<b>FOOTHILL CONSORTIUM</b> Arcadia, Duarte, Monrovia, Pasadena, Sierra Madre, and South Pasadena Cities	20	162,500	157,100	5,400	3.3%
<b>SOUTH BAY CONSORTIUM</b> Carson, El Segundo, Gardena, Hawthorne, Hermosa Beach, Inglewood, Lawndale, Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach, Lomita, and Torrance Cities	26	368,000	354,100	13,900	3.8%
<b>SELACO (SOUTHEAST LOS ANGELES COUNTY CONSORTIUM)</b> Artesia, Bellflower, Cerritos, Downey, Hawaiian Gardens, Lakewood, and Norwalk Cities	28	229,000	220,100	8,900	3.9%
<b>PACIFIC GATEWAY WORKFORCE INVESTMENT NETWORK</b> Long Beach and Signal Hill Cities	29	247,000	237,000	10,000	4.0%
<b>ORANGE COUNTY</b> Orange County, except Anaheim and Santa Ana Cities	7	1,272,900	1,240,500	32,500	2.5%
<b>ANAHEIM CITY</b> Anaheim City	10	169,600	165,000	4,600	2.7%
<b>SANTA ANA CITY</b> Santa Ana City	8	157,300	153,200	4,000	2.6%
<b>SAN JOSE - SILICON VALLEY</b> Santa Clara County, except Cupertino, Los Altos, Milpitas, Mountain View, Palo Alto, Santa Clara, and Sunnyvale Cities	3	711,500	694,600	16,900	2.4%
<b>NOVA (NORTH VALLEY CONSORTIUM)</b> Cupertino, Los Altos, Milpitas, Mountain View, Palo Alto, Santa Clara, and Sunnyvale Cities; San Mateo County	1	780,600	765,200	15,300	2.0%
<b>GOLDEN SIERRA CONSORTIUM</b> Alpine, El Dorado, and Placer Counties	13	270,100	262,200	7,900	2.9%
<b>KERN, INYO, MONO CONSORTIUM</b> Kern, Inyo, and Mono Counties	43	398,600	368,500	30,100	7.5%
<b>MOTHER LODE CONSORTIUM</b> Amador, Calaveras, Mariposa, and Tuolumne Counties	27	64,900	62,400	2,500	3.8%
<b>NORTEC (NORTHERN RURAL TRAINING AND EMPLOYMENT CONSORTIUM)</b> Butte, Del Norte, Lassen, Nevada, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Tehama, and Trinity Counties	34	304,900	291,500	13,400	4.4%
<b>NCCC (NORTH CENTRAL COUNTIES CONSORTIUM)</b> Colusa, Glenn, Sutter, and Yuba Counties	42	97,500	90,700	6,800	7.0%
<b>WORKFORCE ALLIANCE OF THE NORTH BAY (NORTH BAY CONSORTIUM)</b> Napa, Lake, Marin and Mendocino Counties	9	282,700	275,400	7,300	2.6%
<b>FRESNO COUNTY</b> Fresno County	40	453,900	422,800	31,100	6.9%
<b>HUMBOLDT COUNTY</b> Humboldt County	15	63,130	61,210	1,920	3.0%
<b>IMPERIAL COUNTY</b> Imperial County	46	71,200	60,000	11,200	15.8%
<b>KINGS COUNTY</b> Kings County	41	58,000	54,000	4,000	6.9%
<b>MADERA COUNTY</b> Madera County	39	61,800	57,800	4,000	6.5%
<b>MERCED COUNTY</b> Merced County	44	116,400	107,300	9,100	7.8%
<b>MONTEREY COUNTY</b> Monterey County	37	225,500	213,500	12,000	5.3%
<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY</b> Riverside County	25	1,070,300	1,030,100	40,200	3.8%
<b>SACRAMENTO CITY/COUNTY</b> Sacramento County	21	694,300	670,900	23,400	3.4%
<b>SAN BENITO COUNTY</b> San Benito County	35	30,100	28,700	1,400	4.8%
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>	23	948,200	914,500	33,700	3.6%

San Bernardino County					
<b>SAN DIEGO CITY/COUNTY</b> San Diego County	12	1,578,200	1,533,100	45,200	2.9%
<b>SAN FRANCISCO CITY/COUNTY</b> San Francisco County	2	564,600	552,900	11,800	2.1%
<b>SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY</b> San Joaquin County	36	327,700	310,300	17,400	5.3%
<b>SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY</b> San Luis Obispo County	6	143,400	139,800	3,600	2.5%
<b>SANTA BARBARA COUNTY</b> Santa Barbara County	18	217,700	210,800	6,800	3.1%
<b>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY</b> Santa Cruz County	33	145,800	139,500	6,200	4.3%
<b>SOLANO COUNTY</b> Solano County	22	206,900	199,700	7,100	3.4%
<b>SONOMA COUNTY</b> Sonoma County	4	262,400	256,200	6,200	2.4%
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY</b> Stanislaus County	38	242,400	227,600	14,700	6.1%
<b>TULARE COUNTY</b> Tulare County	45	210,800	193,100	17,800	8.4%
<b>VENTURA COUNTY</b> Ventura County	17	423,900	410,600	13,300	3.1%
<b>YOLO COUNTY</b> Yolo County	24	106,300	102,500	3,800	3.6%

**Notes**

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.
- 2) Labor force data for all geographic areas now reflect the March 2017 benchmark and Census 2010 population controls at the state level.

**Monthly Labor Force Data for Cities and Census Designated Places (CDP)  
 May 2018 - Preliminary  
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted**

<b>Area Name</b>	<b>Labor Force</b>	<b>Employment</b>	<b>Unemployment Number</b>	<b>Unemployment Rate</b>	<b>Census Ratios Emp</b>	<b>Census Ratios Unemp</b>
El Dorado County	89,200	86,400	2,800	3.2%	1.000000	1.000000
Cameron Park CDP	9,600	9,300	300	3.3%	0.107216	0.110630
Diamond Springs CDP	5,300	5,200	200	3.7%	0.059634	0.069250
El Dorado Hills CDP	21,600	21,100	500	2.4%	0.244105	0.182340
Georgetown CDP	900	800	0	4.8%	0.009833	0.015280
Placerville city	4,600	4,400	200	3.6%	0.051112	0.057550
Pollock Pines CDP	3,200	3,100	100	2.5%	0.035967	0.028100
Shingle Springs CDP	2,300	2,300	0	2.0%	0.026333	0.016220
South Lake Tahoe city	11,600	11,200	400	3.7%	0.129759	0.150450

CDP is "Census Designated Place" - a recognized community that was unincorporated at the time of the 2011-2015 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS).

**Notes:**

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. All unemployment rates shown are calculated on unrounded data.
- 2) These data are not seasonally adjusted.

**Methodology:**

Monthly city labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each city at the time of the 2009-2013 American Community Survey. Ratios for cities were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment, unemployment, and population and Census population from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. For smaller cities and CDPs, ratios were calculated from published census data.

Monthly CDP's labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each CDP at the time of the 2011-2015 ACS survey. Ratios for CDPs' were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment and unemployment from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

This method assumes that the rates of change in employment and unemployment since the 2009-2013/2011-2015 American Community Survey are exactly the same in each city and CDP as at county level (i.e., that the shares are still accurate). If this assumption is not true for a specific city or CDP, then the estimates for that area may not represent the current economic conditions. Since this assumption is untested, caution should be employed when using these data.

**Monthly Labor Force Data for Cities and Census Designated Places (CDP)  
 May 2018 - Preliminary  
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted**

<b>Area Name</b>	<b>Labor Force</b>	<b>Employment</b>	<b>Unemployment Number</b>	<b>Unemployment Rate</b>	<b>Census Ratios Emp</b>	<b>Census Ratios Unemp</b>
Placer County	180,500	175,500	5,000	2.8%	1.000000	1.000000
Auburn city	6,500	6,300	200	3.0%	0.035818	0.038855
Colfax city	1,100	1,100	100	6.6%	0.005985	0.014656
Dollar Point CDP	600	600	0	0.9%	0.003593	0.001272
Foresthill CDP	600	600	0	4.2%	0.003221	0.005007
Granite Bay CDP	10,800	10,500	300	2.6%	0.059880	0.055556
Kings Beach CDP	2,200	2,200	100	2.7%	0.012451	0.012081
Lincoln city	18,700	18,100	600	2.9%	N/A	N/A
Loomis town	3,000	3,000	100	3.0%	0.016847	0.018321
Meadow Vista CDP	1,500	1,500	0	1.8%	0.008317	0.005087
North Auburn CDP	5,400	5,300	200	3.4%	0.029937	0.036958
Rocklin city	31,000	30,200	800	2.6%	N/A	N/A
Roseville city	65,600	63,900	1,700	2.6%	N/A	N/A
Sunnyside Tahoe City CDP	800	700	0	4.0%	0.004146	0.006040
Tahoe Vista CDP	900	800	0	2.9%	0.004825	0.005007

CDP is "Census Designated Place" - a recognized community that was unincorporated at the time of the 2011-2015 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS).

**Notes:**

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. All unemployment rates shown are calculated on unrounded data.
- 2) These data are not seasonally adjusted.
- 3) N/A = Estimate created by Bureau of Labor Statistics

**Methodology:**

Monthly city labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each city at the time of the 2009-2013 American Community Survey. Ratios for cities were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment, unemployment, and population and Census population from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. For smaller cities and CDPs, ratios were calculated from published census data.

Monthly CDP's labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each CDP at the time of the 2011-2015 ACS survey. Ratios for CDPs' were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment and unemployment from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

### Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

<b>Area Name</b>	<b>Labor Force</b>	<b>Employ- ment</b>	<b>Unemployment Number</b>	<b>Rate</b>	<b>Census Ratios</b>	
					<b>Emp</b>	<b>Unemp</b>

This method assumes that the rates of change in employment and unemployment since the 2009-2013/2011-2015 American Community Survey are exactly the same in each city and CDP as at county level (i.e., that the shares are still accurate). If this assumption is not true for a specific city or CDP, then the estimates for that area may not represent the current economic conditions. Since this assumption is untested, caution should be employed when using these data.

**Monthly Labor Force Data for Cities and Census Designated Places (CDP)  
 May 2018 - Preliminary  
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted**

<b>Area Name</b>	<b>Labor Force</b>	<b>Employment</b>	<b>Unemployment Number</b>	<b>Unemployment Rate</b>	<b>Census Ratios Emp</b>	<b>Census Ratios Unemp</b>
Yolo County	106,300	102,500	3,800	3.6%	1.000000	1.000000
Davis city	35,100	34,400	800	2.2%	N/A	N/A
Esparto CDP	1,700	1,600	100	4.2%	0.015951	0.018750
West Sacramento city	25,400	24,400	1,000	3.7%	N/A	N/A
Winters city	3,700	3,500	200	4.9%	0.034407	0.047140
Woodland city	29,800	28,500	1,400	4.6%	N/A	N/A

CDP is "Census Designated Place" - a recognized community that was unincorporated at the time of the 2011-2015 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS).

**Notes:**

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. All unemployment rates shown are calculated on unrounded data.
- 2) These data are not seasonally adjusted.
- 3) N/A = Estimate created by Bureau of Labor Statistics

**Methodology:**

Monthly city labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each city at the time of the 2009-2013 American Community Survey. Ratios for cities were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment, unemployment, and population and Census population from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. For smaller cities and CDPs, ratios were calculated from published census data.

Monthly CDP's labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each CDP at the time of the 2011-2015 ACS survey. Ratios for CDPs' were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment and unemployment from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

This method assumes that the rates of change in employment and unemployment since the 2009-2013/2011-2015 American Community Survey are exactly the same in each city and CDP as at county level (i.e., that the shares are still accurate). If this assumption is not true for a specific city or CDP, then the estimates for that area may not represent the current economic conditions. Since this assumption is untested, caution should be employed when using these data.

## ITEM IV-D - INFORMATION

### COMMITTEE UPDATES

#### BACKGROUND:

This item provides an opportunity for a report from the following committees:

- Youth Committee – David Gordon
- Planning/Oversight Committee – Dr. Jamey Nye
- Employer Outreach Committee – Rick Wylie
- Board Development Committee – Lisa Clawson



## ITEM V - OTHER REPORTS

### 1. CHAIR'S REPORT

The Chair of the Sacramento Works, Inc. Board, on a regular basis, receives numerous items of information concerning employment and training legislation, current programs, agency activities, and miscellaneous articles.

The important information from the material received and meetings attended will be shared with the entire Board and the method proposed by the Chair is to give a verbal report at each regular meeting. It will also allow time for the Board to provide input on items that may require future action.

### 2. MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

This item provides the opportunity for Workforce Development Board members to raise any items for consideration not covered under the formal agenda. It also provides the opportunity for Board members to request staff to research or follow up on specific requests or to ask that certain items be placed on the next agenda.

### 3. COUNSEL REPORT:

The Sacramento Works, Inc. Legal Counsel is the firm of Phillip M. Cunningham, Attorney at Law. This item provides the opportunity for Legal Counsel to provide the Sacramento Works, Inc. Board with an oral or written report on legal activities

### 4. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION:

Participation of the general public at Sacramento Works, Inc. Board meetings is encouraged. The Sacramento Works, Inc. Board has decided to incorporate participants of the audience as part of its agenda for all meetings. Members of the audience are asked to address their requests to the Chair, if they wish to speak.